

Externally Funded Contract Research Project



### **Completion Report**

on

# Bio-efficacy and evaluation of fertilizer-PASP (Potassium salt of Active Phosphorus) in sugarcane plants and ratoon crop

### Sponsoring Agency ISHA Agro Sciences PVT LTD Pune (Maharashtra)

Submitted by

Dr. S. K. Yadav (Senior Scientist, Agronomy & PI)

## ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research Lucknow-226 002 (U.P.)

### Center wise report for the year 2021-22

#### LUCKNOW CENTRE

**Project:** Evaluation of PSAP on Growth, Yield and Quality of Sugarcane in Different Agro-Climatic Zones of the Country.

#### **Objective of Trials:**

1. To work out the optimum dose and schedule of PSAP application in sugarcane.

2. To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality.

3. To analyze the impact of the product on soil fertility and cultivation economics.

#### Methodology

Experiment was conducted at research farm of ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research Lucknow.

10 treatments were replicated thrice and analyzed in RBD design. Planting of Colk 09204 variety at

120 cm spacing has been done on 29-02-2021. Initial set soaking with PSAP has been performed as

per the technical program. Inter-culture operations were performed during the month of April and May.

Foliar application of PSAP was done according to the different rate and days after planting which was

guided in technical program.

#### Treatments

T<sub>1</sub>: Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)

T<sub>2</sub>: RDF+ Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution

**T<sub>3</sub>:**T<sub>2</sub>+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha) **T<sub>4</sub>:** T<sub>2</sub>+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha) **T<sub>5</sub>:** T<sub>2</sub>+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (25 kg

PSAP/ha)

T<sub>6</sub>: Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K

**T**<sub>7</sub>: T<sub>6</sub>+ Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution

**T<sub>8</sub>:** T<sub>7</sub>+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha) **T<sub>9</sub>:** T<sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha) **T<sub>10</sub>:** T<sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (25 kg PSAP/ha)

#### Results

*Initial soil data* Bulk density: 1.21 g/cc infiltration rate: 6.0 mm/hr, Porosity: 33.33%, organic carbon: 0.41%, soil pH: 7.17, EC: 0.11, available N: 264.23 Kg / ha, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:24.19 Kg / ha, K<sub>2</sub>O: 350.45 in Kg / ha

Data on germination count at 30 and 45 DAP showed that highest germination % was found in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (18.19 at 30 DAP, 28.5% at 45 DAP) which was at par with treatment T<sub>10</sub> (17.37 at 30 DAP, 27.20 % at 45 DAP) while lowest was found in T<sub>2</sub> (12.14 at 30 DAP, 17.5% at 45 DAP) treatment.

Treatments	Germination	Plant	Fresh and dry weight (gm)/cane a					
	%	Height	tillering stage					
		(cm) at	Stem	Stem	Leaf	Leaf		
		tillering	Fresh	dry	fresh	dry		
		stage	weight	weight	weight	weight		
T <sub>1</sub> :Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	15.10	97.13	103.00	23.52	89.67	45.36		
T <sub>2</sub> :RDF+ Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	11.89	94.80	76.67	13.74	88.50	43.78		
T <sub>3</sub> :T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha)	13.70	94.27	74.67	21.20	132.33	62.66		
T <sub>4</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha)	12.14	94.07	103.50	26.84	84.17	40.50		
T <sub>5</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (25 kg PSAP/ha)	16.26	97.47	95.33	20.65	106.17	50.42		
T <sub>6</sub> : Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	18.19	99.67	107.83	26.62	111.17	61.72		
T <sub>7</sub> : T <sub>6</sub> + Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	14.40	97.67	103.17	25.50	100.50	48.44		
T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha)	14.98	93.67	122.67	25.64	121.93	45.47		
T <sub>9</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha)	17.37	102.00	87.67	18.78	109.33	41.15		
T <sub>10</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (25 kg PSAP/ha)	16.50	97.87	117.67	26.41	86.00	34.04		
SEm±	0.41	2.71	3.05	0.68	2.57	1.06		
CD	1.22	NS	9.05	2.02	7.64	3.14		

Table 1 Effect of treatment on germination%, plant height, plant fresh and dry weight

- The data recorded on fresh stem weight showed that highest fresh weight was found in treatment T8(122.6g) which was significantly higher than all treatment except treatment T10. The lowest fresh stem weight was observed in T3 (74.67g) treatment.
- Similarly highest dry weight was reported in T4 (26.84g) treatment, it was statistically higher than treatments T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T9 and at par with remaining treatments. The lowest stem dry weight found in T2(13.74g).
- The highest fresh (121.93g) and dry leaf weight (62.66g)/ plant were measured in treatment T3, which was significantly higher than all the treatments in case of fresh weight.

Treatments	Til	ller counts/ha	
	May	June	July
T <sub>1</sub> :Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	21450.62	32047.33	37397.12
T <sub>2</sub> :RDF+ Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	17746.91	32615.23	40380.66

 Table 2 Effect of treatment on tillering pattern of sugarcane plant crop

T <sub>3</sub> :T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha)	19855.97	34646.09	39351.85
T <sub>4</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha)	17541.15	31172.84	37757.20
T <sub>5</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (25 kg PSAP/ha)	23919.75	38580.25	36522.63
T <sub>6</sub> : Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	25205.76	36831.28	41872.43
T <sub>7</sub> : T <sub>6</sub> + Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	23174.90	39300.41	41152.26
T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha)	20010.29	27674.90	36779.84
T <sub>9</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha)	24794.24	33590.53	39094.65
T <sub>10</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (25 kg PSAP/ha)	22685.19	37705.76	34310.70
SEm±	589.62	679.91	768.49
CD	1751.86	2020.11	2283.30

- Plant height data at tillering stage did not affect significantly due to the treatment while maximum plant height (102 cm) was recorded at T<sub>9</sub> treatment.
- Plant dry weight at tillering stage data showed that maximum dry weight (stem +leaf)/cane (89.50 g/cane) was found in T<sub>9</sub> treatment which was at par with T<sub>10</sub> treatment whereas minimum was found in T<sub>2</sub> treatment.
- Tillering data at periodic interval revealed that maximum tiller count/ha (25205.76 May, 39300.41 June and 41872.43 July) was found in T<sub>9</sub> treatment which was at par with T<sub>10</sub> treatment. Minimum tiller count/ha was found in T<sub>2</sub> treatment.

Treatments	Length	Girth	Cane weight	NMC	Yield
	(cm)	(mm)	(kg)	000/ha	t/ha
T <sub>1</sub> :Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	171.20	21.26	0.67	49451.30	39.09
T <sub>2</sub> :RDF+ Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP	170.67	22.81	0.71	50651.58	32.00
solution					
$T_3:T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and	175.53	22.42	0.70	50137.17	32.20
0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg					
PSAP/ha)					
$T_4$ : $T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65	158.40	21.48	0.65	51406.04	30.66
and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg					
PSAP/ha)					
T <sub>5</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4, 0.65,	160.73	22.37	0.71	52366.26	41.26
1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP					
(25 kg PSAP/ha)					
T <sub>6</sub> : Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	174.73	21.43	0.64	49897.12	37.86
$T_7$ : $T_6$ + Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP	155.60	23.45	0.71	51783.26	39.51
solution					
T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4, 0.65	161.07	23.81	0.72	50685.87	41.26
and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg					
PSAP/ha)					
T <sub>9</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65	177.73	21.18	0.74	53566.53	42.28
and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg					
PSAP/ha)					

Table 3 effect of different PSAP treatments of yield parameters and yield of sugarcane

$T_{10}$ : $T_7$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (25 kg PS A P/hg)	174.40	20.06	0.75	52537.72	41.77
SEm±	4.08	0.59	0.02	357.20	1.49
CD	12.13	1.76	0.06	1061.30	4.44

- Data recorded on single cane parameters showed that lengthiest cane was measured in T9 (177.73 cm) treatment which was statistically superior to T4, T5, T7, and T8 and remained at par with T1, T2, T3, T6 and T10. The smallest cane (155.6 cm) was measured in the treatments T7.
- In the case of average cane girth the thickest cane was reported in the treatment T8 (23.81mm) followed by T7. The treatments T8 thickening was significantly higher than T1, T4, and T10 but at par with remaining treatments. The thinnest cane was measured in the treatment T10 (20.06 mm).
- Regarding observation on cane weight it was reported that the heaviest cane was weighted in the treatment T10 (0.75 kg), it was statistically superior to T1 and T3 while remaining treatments lesser in weight. Lightest cane weight was found the treatment T6 (0.64 kg)
- In present investigation the maximum cane yield was obtained from treatment T9 (42.28 t/ha), as the number of millable cane was higher in the particular treatment. It was statistically superior to treatments T2, T3 and T4 treatments but remain similar with T1, T5, T6, T7, T8 and T10 treatments. The lowest cane yield (30.66t/ha) was weighted in the treatments T4.

#### **COIMBATORE CENTRE**

AS-76: Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, vield and quality. The experiment on evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality was initiated during March 2021. The experiment was conducted in randomized Block Design with three replications and 12 treatments. The treatment includes T<sub>1</sub>- Application of RDF without sett soaking, T<sub>2</sub>- RDF+ sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution, T<sub>3</sub>- Recommended N, 50 % P and 50% K, T<sub>4</sub>- T<sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution, T<sub>5</sub>- T<sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @0.4, 0.65 and 0.8 at 60, 90 and 120 DAP, T<sub>6</sub>- T<sub>2</sub> + + Foliar spray of PSAP @0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 at 60, 90 and 120 DAP, T<sub>7</sub>-T<sub>2</sub> + + Foliar spray of PSAP @0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 at 60, 80,100 and 120 DAP, T<sub>8</sub>- T<sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @0.4, 0.65, 0.8 and 1.10 at 60, 90 and 120 DAP, T<sub>9</sub> - T<sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 at 60, 90 and 120 DAP, T<sub>10</sub>- T<sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 at 60, 80,100 and 120 DAP. Juice analysis done at 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> months after planting revealed that nutrient management had no effect on juice quality parameters. The crop was harvested after twelve months and NMC, cane height, cane girth, number of nodes, cane weight, and cane yield per plot were recorded. PSAP-based nutrient management in plant sugarcane crop showed better cane yield in plant crop with sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution + recommended N, 50 % P and 50% K+ foliar spray of PSAP @0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 at 60, 80,100 and 120 DAP.

Treatments	Cane height (cm)	Cane girth (mm)	SCW (kg)	NMC (100x10 <sup>3</sup> )	Cane Yield (t/ha)
100% RDF + WSS	201.7	28.5	1.27	93.31	118.2
100% RDF + SS (0.8% PSAP)	214.5	28.7	1.29	96.11	123.9
100% N + 50% PK +WSS	196.1	26.2	1.18	91.74	92.9
100% N + 50% PK +SS(0.8% PSAP)	206.7	26.9	1.16	94.10	109.3
100% RDF+SS+ three FS(0.4,0.65, 0.8% PSAP)	212.2	29.9	1.40	92.01	128.9
100% RDF+SS+ three FS(0.4,0.65,1.1% PSAP)	217.3	30.3	1.42	94.92	133.2
100% RDF+SS+ four FS(0.4,0.65,1.1,1.1)	218.9	30.5	1.44	95.63	137.8
100%N +50% PK+SS+ four FS(0.4, 0.65, 0.8 and 1.1% PSAP)	217.2	29.4	1.33	89.24	118.6
100%N +50% PK+SS+ three FS(0.4, 0.65 and 1.1% PSAP)	222.2	30.1	1.43	90.58	128.8
100%N +50% PK+SS+four FS(0.4, 0.65, 1.1 and 1.1% PSAP)	221.1	30.6	1.45	98.29	139.7
SED	10.36	1.15	0.06	3.19	9.15
CD	NS	2.39	1.12	6.66	19.10

 Table 3: Yield and yield attributes as influenced by different treatments

RDF: Recommended dose of fertilizers, WSS: Without sett soaking, SS: Sett soaking FS: Foliar spray

Treatments	Sucrose (%)	CCS (%)	CCS Yield (t/ha)
100% RDF + WSS	19.36	13.99	16.53
100% RDF + SS (0.8% PSAP)	19.17	13.82	17.10
100% N + 50% PK +WSS	19.49	14.18	13.29
100% N + 50% PK +SS(0.8% PSAP)	19.78	14.27	15.58
100% RDF+SS+ three FS(0.4,0.65, 0.8% PSAP)	18.96	13.69	17.76
100% RDF+SS+ three FS(0.4,0.65,1.1% PSAP)	19.46	14.21	18.92
100% RDF + SS+ four FS(0.4,0.65,1.1,1.1)	20.17	14.75	20.34
100% N +50% PK +SS + four FS(0.4, 0.65, 0.8 and 1.1% PSAP)	19.87	14.78	17.50
100%N +50% PK+ SS+ three FS(0.4, 0.65 and 1.1% PSAP)	19.98	14.60	18.83
100%N +50% PK+SS+four FS(0.4, 0.65, 1.1 and 1.1% PSAP)	20.08	14.75	20.62
SED	0.79	0.77	1.77
CD	NS	NS	3.66

Table 4: Juice quality parameters as influenced by different treatments

**RDF**: Recommended dose of fertilizers, **WSS**: Without sett soaking, **SS**: Sett soaking **FS**: Foliar spray

#### **GURDASPUR CENTRE**

AS-76	:	Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield
		and quality
Objective	:	1. To work out the optimum dose and schedule of PSAP application in
		sugarcane crop.
		2. To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice
		quality.
		3. To analyse the impact of the product on soil fertility and cultivation
		economics.
Year of	:	2021 (to be carried out for two consecutive years)
start		

#### **Treatment details:**

T1- Recommended dose of NPK

- T2- RDF + Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution
- T3- Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K
- T4- T3 + Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution
- T5-T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 0.80% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP
- T6- T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 1.10% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP
- T7-T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 1.10 & 1.10% @ 60, 80, 100 & 120 DAP
- T8- T4+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 0.80% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP

T9- T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 1.10% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP

T10- T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 1.10 & 1.10% @ 60, 80, 100 & 120 DAP

The experiment was started in spring 2020-21 in Randomized Block Design for evaluating efficacy of PSAP. Variety CoPB 96 was planted at a spacing of 120 cm. Recommended dose of NPK in sugarcane was given to the crop along with sett soaking and foliar spray of PSAP which was split as per the treatments. The crop was raised as per package of practices of the PAU, Ludhiana.

Higher germination (34.7%) was observed in treatment T8 (T4+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 0.80% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP) over all other treatments. There was no significant difference among different treatments with respect to germination. Significantly higher NMC (110.0 thousand/ha) was recorded in T7 (T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 1.10 & 1.10% @ 60, 80, 100 & 120 DAP) which was at par with treatments T5, T6, T8, T9 and T10 treatments among other treatments. Significantly higher cane girth (2.20 cm) was recorded with treatment T9 (T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 1.10% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP) which was at par with treatments T2 and T4 among all other treatments. Higher cane yield (93.53 t/ha), CCS (14.07) and CCS (12.92 t/ha) was recorded with treatments T10, T7 and T9, respectively as there is no significant effect of different treatments.

Treatments	Germination (%)	NMC (000/ha)	Girth (cm)	Cane Yield (t/ha)	CCS (%)	CCS (t/ha)
T1	30.7	86.3	2.10	82.80	13.53	11.22
T2	32.7	90.3	2.17	82.93	13.60	11.28
Т3	28.0	92.7	2.03	84.27	13.88	11.69
T4	32.3	94.7	2.13	80.83	13.85	11.17
T5	31.0	101.7	2.07	87.07	13.68	11.90
T6	29.0	106.0	2.03	89.37	13.83	12.37
Τ7	32.7	110.0	2.10	90.57	14.07	12.75
T8	34.7	104.3	2.10	92.23	13.83	12.74
Т9	34.0	107.7	2.20	93.07	13.88	12.92
T10	33.0	105.7	2.03	93.53	13.75	12.87
CD at 5%	NS	14.1	0.09	NS	NS	NS

Table 7: Effect of PSAP on enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality.

#### **KOLHAPUR CENTRE**

Name of the tri	al	AS 76: Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth,						
		yield and quality * (Sponsored trial)						
Objective		1. To work out the optimum dose and schedule of PSAP application in						
		sugarcane crop						
		2. To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality						
		3. To analyse the impact of the product on soil fertility and cultivation						
		economics						
Year of Start		2021 (to be carried out for two consecutive years)						
Treatments		Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)						
(10)	<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>							
	<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution						
	T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K						
	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution						
	<b>T</b> 5	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP						
	T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP						
	<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP						
		$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4.0.65 and 0.80 % at 60.90 and 120 DAP						
	<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>							
	T9	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP						
	T	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and						
	1 10	120 DAP						
Season		· Seasonal/Suru 2021						
Plot size		: 6 m x 6 R x 1.2 m						
Fertilizer Dose		: 250: 115: 115 N: P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O kg/ha.						
Design and		: RBD and Three						
Replications								
Date of Planting	g	: 11/02/2021						
Crop duration		: 12 months						
Observations to	be be	1. Germination count/ plant population at 30 and 45 DAP						
recorded		2. Tiller population at monthly interval						
		3. Millable canes, length, girth and cane weight at harvest						
		4. Cane and sugar yield						
		5. Juice quality parameters (Brix, pol, purity) at 10 and 12 months age						
		6. Soil analysis initial and after harvest of each crop (bulk density, infiltration						
		rate, organic carbon, soil pH, EC, available N, P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , K <sub>2</sub> O in kg/ha)						
		7. Economics						
		8. Nutrient uptake (N, P, K)) at harvest						
		9. Soil microbial parameters (optional)						
		10. Phyto-toxicity to sugarcane crop, if any. Visual observations to be recorded						
		and reported.						

			No. of							
Sr		Germinat	tillers	Stalk	Stalk	Avg.				
•	Treatm	ion % (30	('000/	lengt	diamet	cane	0	Sucro	Puri	CCS
Ν	ent	DAP)	ha)	h	er	wt.	Brix	se %	ty %	%
0.		Din )	120	(cm)	(cm)	(kg)				
			days							
1	$T_1$	60.22	92.21	230. 00	2.67	1.20	18.5 9	13.8 9	74.4 1	8.64
2	<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	54.66	86.96	203. 33	2.53	0.96	17.7 5	13.4 5	73.3	8.56
3	T <sub>3</sub>	55.89	87.19	220. 00	2.62	0.96	18.2 5	13.5 8	73.7 1	8.55
4	T <sub>4</sub>	57.99	89.97	230. 00	2.62	1.06	18.2 5	13.6 6	74.4 1	8.63
5	<b>T</b> 5	60.88	100.0 0	243. 33	2.82	1.24	18.7 5	13.9 5	74.5 8	8.73
6	T <sub>6</sub>	60.99	100.4 6	250. 00	2.91	1.18	18.9 2	13.9 6	73.5 2	8.74
7	<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	62.22	106.4 0	253. 33	3.02	1.41	19.5 9	14.2 4	75.7 5	9.20
8	<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>	62.00	101.6 2	250. 00	3.01	1.41	19.5 9	14.1 6	74.8 5	8.79
9	T9	64.78	110.1 1	256. 67	3.24	1.52	19.9 2	16.3 6	78.8 1	10.6 4
10	<b>T</b> <sub>10</sub>	61.22	101.2 3	250. 00	3.00	1.32	19.0 9	13.9 7	74.7 0	8.76
	Mean	60.06	97.62	238. 67	2.84	1.23	18.8 7	14.1 2	74.8 1	8.92
	SE (m)±	5.26	4.68	8.88 2	0.102	0.07 1	0.32 5	0.17 2	0.77 5	0.16 9
	CD at 5%	NS	14.02	26.5 94	0.305	0.21	0.97 2	0.51 5	2.32 0	0.50 5
	CV %	15.12	8.31	6.44 6	6.200	10.0 50	2.97 9	2.10 8	1.79 4	3.28

AS 76: Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality influenced during 10 months crop age \* (Sponsored trial)

## AS 76: Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality influenced during 12 months crop age \* (Sponsored trial)

Sr. No.	Treatment	Stalk length (cm)	Stalk diameter (cm)	Avg. cane wt. (kg)	NMC (000 ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at harvest	Cane yield (t ha <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> )	CCS (t ha <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> )	° Brix	Sucrose %	Purity %	CCS %
1	T <sub>1</sub>	250.00	2.76	1.18	85.26	96.70	12.02	21.08	17.83	86.89	12.08
2	T <sub>2</sub>	244.33	2.67	1.00	79.32	92.75	10.03	21.06	16.56	81.66	10.81
3	Тз	244.00	2.84	1.00	81.79	96.22	11.01	21.08	16.64	84.27	11.40
4	T <sub>4</sub>	249.67	2.84	1.10	84.80	93.28	11.61	21.08	17.05	85.86	11.42
5	<b>T</b> 5	250.33	2.76	1.23	87.50	104.78	13.18	21.18	18.15	88.30	12.31
6	Τ6	255.00	2.93	1.27	88.50	115.03	14.49	21.22	18.52	90.60	12.85
7	<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	262.33	3.03	1.47	92.75	123.90	17.25	21.49	19.64	92.62	13.86
8	Т8	261.67	2.98	1.40	91.83	119.98	16.14	21.45	19.53	92.19	13.80
9	Т9	271.00	3.18	1.57	96.22	136.47	18.81	21.52	19.75	93.19	13.92
10	<b>T</b> 10	255.00	2.98	1.30	90.82	116.43	14.58	21.32	19.51	91.56	13.78
	Mean	254.33	2.89	1.25	87.87	109.55	13.91	21.25	18.31	88.71	12.62
	SE (m)±	3.69	0.08	0.087	2.35	8.06	1.06	0.12	0.51	1.75	0.49
	CD at 5%	11.06	0.23	0.260	7.05	24.15	3.16	0.35	1.52	5.25	1.46
	CV %	11.45	4.55	12.01	4.64	12.75	13.16	4.78	4.80	3.43	6.70

Note:

- 1. The sugarcane planting date was 11<sup>th</sup> Feb 2021
- The rainfall during tillering stage i.e. May (122 mm received in 5 Rainy days ) and June 2021 (360.6 mm in 14 Rainy days)
- 3. Post tillering stage coincided during July 2021 received 744.2 mm was received in 21 rainy days all the
- 4. Field trials was submerged for 8 days and approx. 20 feet of water level was noticed in the field and silting was noticed which affected yield attributes.
- 5. Grand growth stage coincided during August and Sept 2021 received 290.9 mm in 32 rainy days.

- 6. During October to December 253 mm rainfall occurred in 16 rainy days. During this period field was stagnated with water there by side shoots were noticed and formation of aerial root was noted in all the field trials.
- 7. In the December month the **Monitoring Team** also visited the field and has noted the same also directed the center to inform the same in the report.
- 8. Over all the yield of the crop was considerably decreased due the flood followed by stagnation of water for more than 8 days damaging the apical portion, silting further, extended rainy season affected the field condition.

AS 76:	Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane
	growth, yield and quality (Sponsored trial)
Year of initiation	2021 (to be carried out for two consecutive years)
Design	RBD
Treatments	10
Replication	3
Variety	Co Or 03151
<b>Recommended fertilizer</b>	250:100:60 N: P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> : K <sub>2</sub> O kg /ha
dose	
Plot size	6 rows of 6m length
Spacing	120 cm between rows with 3 bud setts
Date of planting	06.01.2021
Date of harvest	07.01.2022

#### NAYGARH CENTRE

#### **Treatment Details**:

T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution
T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K
T <sub>4</sub>	T3 + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution
T <sub>5</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>6</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>7</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP
T <sub>8</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>9</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>10</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP

## AS 76.1 Effect of PSAP application on germination, no. of shoots and yield parameters of sugarcane

Tuestments		Germination %		No of shoots (000/ha)		Lengt h of	Girth of	Weight of cane
	<b>I</b> reatments	30	45	120	180	cane	cane	(kg)
		DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	(m)	(cm)	
T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK	38.87	55.53	81.77	80.87	2.70	1.94	1.28
T.	(KDF) $PDE \pm \text{ soft socking with } 0.8.\%$	40.17	56.82	84.22	81.02	2.78	2.02	1 20
12	PSAP solution	40.17	50.85	04.23	01.95	2.70	2.03	1.29
T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N. 50% P and	35.23	42.90	76.13	75.23	2.23	1.68	1.03
	50% K							
T <sub>4</sub>	T3 + sett soaking with 0.8 %	38.33	45.33	78.07	77.17	2.27	1.75	1.08
	PSAP solution							
T <sub>5</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	41.37	54.70	83.13	82.92	2.91	2.30	1.42
	0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120							
	DAP							
T <sub>6</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	41.03	55.03	91.37	90.27	3.03	2.38	1.44
	0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120							
	DAP							
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	44.47	56.47	92.63	91.73	3.34	2.58	1.46
	0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80,							
	100 and 120 DAP							
T <sub>8</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	39.24	48.24	78.13	77.23	2.61	2.04	1.31
	0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120							
	DAP							
T9	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	38.87	48.87	83.63	82.73	2.76	2.23	1.35
	0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120							
	DAP							
T <sub>10</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4,	40.17	48.50	85.37	84.80	3.06	2.38	1.37
	0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80,							
	100 and 120 DAP							
	SEm <u>+</u>	1.44	2.46	2.66	2.57	0.14	0.15	0.06
	CD at 5 %	4.27	7.31	7.91	7.62	0.40	0.43	0.17
	CV%	6.25	8.32	6.53	6.39	8.52	9.82	7.70

#### AS 76.2 Effect of PSAP application on yield and quality of sugarcane

Treatments Brix % NMC Cane yie ('000/ha) (t/ha)	l CCS % CCS (t/ha)
-------------------------------------------------------	--------------------

T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK	18.76	73.50	93.98	11.03	10.37
	(RDF)					
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with $0.8$ %	19.11	75.23	96.79	11.35	10.98
	PSAP solution					
T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and	18.73	69.87	71.66	10.70	7.67
	50% K					
T <sub>4</sub>	T3 + sett soaking with $0.8$ %	18.76	71.80	77.61	10.77	8.38
	PSAP solution					
T <sub>5</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @	18.90	77.55	110.07	11.45	12.60
	0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90					
	and 120 DAP					
T <sub>6</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @	18.97	81.57	116.97	11.58	13.52
	0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90					
	and 120 DAP					
T <sub>7</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @	19.34	85.03	122.48	11.60	14.16
	0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60,					
	80, 100 and 120 DAP					
$T_8$	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @	18.87	71.87	94.07	11.23	10.55
	0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90					
	and 120 DAP					
T9	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @	18.93	76.70	104.00	11.33	11.81
	0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90					
	and 120 DAP					
T <sub>10</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @	19.24	79.43	109.02	11.56	12.60
	0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60,					
	80, 100 and 120 DAP					
	SEm <u>+</u>	0.30	2.82	4.55	0.36	0.58
	CD at 5 %	NS	8.36	13.52	NS	1.73
	CV%	4.73	6.40	7.91	5.52	8.96

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with ten treatments as per the technical programme on red laterite soil of the experimental farm of Sugarcane Research Station, Nayagarh. The soil was acidic (pH 5.33) in reaction with electrical conductivity of 0.206 dsm-1. Available N content was in lower range (155 kg/ha), but the soil was medium in available P (19.6 kg/ha) and (K 164 kg/ha) content. There is significant difference among treatment for all the parameters like germination %, shoot count, length of cane, girth of cane, weight of cane, Net Millable Cane count, cane yield and CCS yield. Out of the ten treatments, application of RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 %

PSAP solution along with foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP i.e T<sub>7</sub> proved to be the best with highest number of net millable canes (85.03 th/ha), cane (122.48 t/ha) and CCS yield (14.16.t/ha). However this treatment is at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> ( i.e application of RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution along with foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP) and T<sub>10</sub> (i.e application of recommended N, 50% P and 50% K + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution along with foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP). The higher yield parameters i.e. number of shoots/ha, weight, length and girth of cane in the above mentioned treatments were the factors of higher cane and CCS yield. This suggests, the crop responds better to foliar application of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP along with sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution.

#### **PUNE CENTRE**

#### Project No. : AS 76

:

#### : Evaluation of PSAP on AICRP in Sugarcane at given Varieties in different agro climatic zones

#### Objectives

Title

- 1. To work out the optimum dose and schedule of PSAP application in sugarcane.
- 2. To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality.
- 3. To analyse the impact of the product on soil fertility and cultivation economics.

Principal Investigator	: Dr. Preeti Deshmukh, Scientist & Head
Co-principal Investigator	: Mr. S. B. Bramhe
Soil	: Black cotton soil
Design	: RBD
Replication	: Three
Spacing	: 4.5"
Plot size	: 54.8m <sup>2</sup>
Planting season	: Suru
Variety	: Co 86032
Location	: Vasanatdada Farm
Date of Planting	: 27.01.2021
Date of harvesting	: 26.02.2022
Year of commencement	: 2020-21
Year of completion	: 2023-24

#### **Treatment Details:**

- Tl 100% RD (Recommended Dose) of Fertilizers through Soil- Control Plot -1
- T2- Tl + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution
- T3-T2 + 12.5 Kg PSAP/Ha PSAP 3 in three foliar sprays at 60 DAP@ 0.40%

90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@0.80%

- **T4** -T2 + 15 kg/ha PSAP 3 in three foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@1.1%
- T5 T2 + 25 kg/ha PSAP 3 in three four spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 80 DAP @ 0.65% @ 100 DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%

T6 – Control-2, RDF N 100% and 50% P & K

- T7 T6 + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution
- **T8** T6 + 12.5 kg/ha PSAP 3 in three foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@0.80%
- **T9** T6 15 kg/ha PSAP 3 in three foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@1.1%
- **T10** T6- 25 kg/ha PSAP 3 in four foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 80 DAP @ 0.65% @ 100 DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%

#### **Results:**

The field experiment was conducted to study the Evaluation of PSAP on AICRP in sugarcane at given varieties in different agro climatic zones. The data with respect to cane yield, sugar yield, growth parameters, juice quality and economics are presented in Table 1.

#### Cane yield:

The cane yield data showed that maximum cane yield (118.4 tha<sup>-1</sup>) obtained in treatment T5 where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @ 25kg/ha in four splits at 45,60,90 and 120 days after planting was found significantly superior over RDF (106.1 tha<sup>-1</sup>). The cane yield (115.4 tha<sup>-1</sup>) obtained in treatment T10 where applied recommended dose of fertilizer (N 100% and 50% P & K) along with foliar application of PSAP @ 25kg/ha in four splits at 45,60,90 and 120days after planting was found significantly superior over RDF (102.0) where applied RDF (N 100% and 50% P & K). This might be due to the high content of available soil phosphorus and potassium.

#### **Commercial Cane Sugar Yield**

The numerically maximum CCS yield (17.28 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) in treatment T4 where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @ 15kg/ha in three splits at ,60,90 and 120 days after planting and differences was found non-significant.

#### **Plant population**

The numerically highest plant population (91.1 thousand ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in treatment T5 where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @ 25kg/ha in four splits at 60, 80,100 and 120 days after planting. Foliar application of PSAP in different splits was found non-significant for number of millibale canes.

#### **Growth parameters**

The maximum milliable cane height (230.4 cm) was recorded in treatment T5 where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @ 25kg/ha in four splits at,60,80,100 and 120 days after planting followed by (226.3 cm) was recorded in treatment T4 where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @ 15kg/ha in four splits at,60,90 and 120 days after planting was found significantly superior over RDF (207.6 cm).

Cane girth was significantly increased in treatment T5 (9.08) where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @ 25kg/ha in four splits at, 60, 80,100 and 120 days after planting over RDF (8.08). The data of no. of internode was found more or less same in all the treatments.

#### Juice quality

The juice quality parameters with respect to brix, pol, purity and CCS % were not affected by application of fertilizers in splits.

#### **Economical evaluation**

The highest benefit cost ratio (1.78) where applied RDF (N 100% and 50% P & K) followed by 1.73 where applied 100% RDF

#### Conclusion

The results concluded that application of recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @25kg/ha in four spilts increased the cane yield by 12.3 tha<sup>-1</sup> but application of recommended dose of fertilizer is found to be economical.

#### Table 1: Effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth parameters

Treatment	Cane	CCS	B:C	No. of	Milliable	No. of	Girth	CCS
	yield	yield	ratio	milliable	cane	Internode	(cm)	%
	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		cane	height			
				('000') ha	(cm)			
T1 – Control – 1, 100% RDF	106.1	15.68	1.73	85.3	207.6	19.6	8.08	14.45
T2 – T1+ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	109.2	16.54	1.74	83.4	210.4	20.1	8.11	15.16
T3:T2 + 12.5 Kg PSAP/Ha PSAP 3 in three foliar sprays								
at 60 DAP@ 0.40%,90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120	112.3	16.76	1.59	91.0	215.9	20.2	8.66	15.24
DAP@0.80%								
T4 -T2 + 15 kg/ha PSAP 3 in three foliar spray at	113.5	17.28	1.57	88.2	226.3	19.1	8.96	15.23
60DAP@0.40%,90DAP@0.65 and120 DAP@1.1%								
T5 - T2 + 25 kg/ha PSAP 3 in four foliar spray at	110.4	16.02	1.50	01.1	220.4	10.6	0.00	15.60
60DAP(a)0.40%,80DAP(a)0.65%(a)100 DAP(a)1.1% and 120 DAP(a)1.1%	118.4	16.93	1.50	91.1	230.4	18.6	9.08	15.63
$120 \text{ DAP}(\underline{w}_1.1\%)$	102.0	15.02	1.74	02.5	206.2	10.6	0.12	14.61
16 – Control-2, RDF N 100% and 50% P & K	102.0	15.92	1.74	83.5	206.2	19.6	8.13	14.61
T7 - T6 + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	106.4	15.58	1.78	84.0	209.1	19.8	8.23	14.62
T8 - T6 + 12.5 kg/ha PSAP 3 in three foliar spray at 60	107.6	15 73	1 58	847	215.0	19.6	8 3 1	1/ 50
DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@0.80%	107.0	15.75	1.50	04.7	213.9	19.0	0.51	14.39
T9 – T6 - 15 kg/ha PSAP 3 in three foliar spray at 60	112.1	16 71	1.61	80.6	210.2	20.1	0.20	14.01
DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@1.1%	112.1	10.71	1.01	89.0	219.5	20.1	0.30	14.91
T10 – T6- 25 kg/ha PSAP 3 in four foliar spray at 60								
DAP@ 0.40% 80 DAP @ 0.65% @100 DAP@1.1% and	115.4	17.03	1.51	84.1	220.9	18.9	8.43	14.76
120 DAP@1.1%								
SE	3.18	0.58		2.32	5.01	0.30	0.29	0.31
CD	9.45	NS		NS	14.88	NS	0.87	NS

#### PUSA CENTRE AS 76.Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality (sponsored trial) 2021-22

The data on growth, yield attributes, cane yield and quality parameters of sugarcane have been placed from Table 8 and 9.

Treatments showed remarkable variation in germination percent, single cane weight and cane yield. Though tillers plant height, millable canes, brix, pol and purity percent juice was found to non-significant. Higher single cane weight (1460 g/ plant) was noticed due to the treatment  $T_6$  (RDF + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution + foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP which was followed by treatment  $T_5$  ( $T_2$  + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP) and  $T_7$  ( $T_2$  + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP). Treatment  $T_7$  ( $T_2$  + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP), Treatment  $T_7$  ( $T_2$  + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP), thigher cane yield (105.0 t/ ha) which was statistically similar to  $T_6$  ( $T_2$  + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP), T\_5 ( $T_2$  + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP), T\_6 ( $T_2$  + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP), T\_6 ( $T_2$  + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP), T\_7 (RDF + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution) and  $T_{10}$  ( $T_4$  + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP). Though lowest cane yield (76.4 t/ ha) was noticed due to the treatment  $T_3$  (Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K).

#### **Summary:**

Treatment T<sub>7</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP) was equally effective as T<sub>6</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP), T<sub>5</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP), T<sub>2</sub> (RDF + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution) and T<sub>10</sub> (T<sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP) for better productivity.

Treatment	Germination (%) at 45 DAP	Tillers (× 10 <sup>3</sup> /ha) at 120 DAP	Plant height (cm)	Millable canes (×10 <sup>3</sup> /ha)	Single Cane Weight (g)
Early promising genotype					
T <sub>1</sub> - Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	27.3	114.3	285.3	70.4	1140
$T_2$ – RDF + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	27.6	117.6	299.3	70.9	1328
$T_3$ – Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	35.0	111.5	295.7	71.5	1090
$T_4 - T_3 +$ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	27.8	116.4	285.7	70.3	1148
$T_5 - T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	25.9	121.9	302.6	69.8	1427
$T_6 - T_2 +$ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	25.2	124.6	304.7	70.6	1460
$T_7 - T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	33.4	131.9	308.0	77.8	1410
$T_8 - T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	28.7	114.9	299.0	69.9	1193
<b>T</b> <sub>9</sub> - <b>T</b> <sub>4</sub> +Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	30.9	114.7	292.7	70.6	1196
$T_{10}$ - $T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	32.7	115.1	297.3	69.7	1330
SEm±	1.92	6.53	13.93	3.83	77.3
CD (P=0.05)	5.7	NS	NS	NS	230
CV %	11.3	9.6	8.1	9.3	11

## Table 8: AS 76.Effect of PSAP on growth and yield attributes of sugarcane (sponsored trial) 2021-22

Treatment	Cane yield (t/ha)	Brix (%)	Pol (%)	Purity (%)
Early promising genotype				
T <sub>1</sub> - Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	79.1	21.5	18.78	87.8
$T_2$ - RDF + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	92.5	20.7	18.18	87.7
T <sub>3</sub> – Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	76.4	20.6	18.19	88.0
$T_4 - T_3 +$ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	79.7	21.1	18.38	87.3
$T_5 - T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	99.1	20.7	18.26	88.1
$T_6 - T_2 +$ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	102.2	21.1	18.42	87.1
$T_7 - T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	105.0	20.9	18.32	87.7
$T_8 - T_4 +$ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	82.7	21.1	18.39	87.3
$T_{9}$ - $T_{4}$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	83.2	20.8	18.22	87.8
$T_{10}$ - $T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	92.0	21.1	18.46	87.5
SEm±	4.88	0.31	0.165	0.56
CD (P=0.05)	14.5	NS	NS	NS
CV %	9.5	2.6	1.56	1.1

Table 9: AS 76. Effect of PSAP on yield and quality of sugarcane (sponsored trial) 2021-22

#### SANKESHWAR CENTRE

1	Project No.	AICRP (AS 76)
2	Department	Sugarcane Agronomy
3	Project Title	AS-76: Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality
4	Objectives	<ul> <li>To work out the optimum dose and schedule of PSAP application in sugarcane crop</li> <li>To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality</li> <li>To analyse the impact of the product on soil fertility and cultivation economics</li> </ul>
5	Project Leader Associate	Dr. S.S. Nooli, Agronomist, AICRP (S)
6	New/Continued	New
7	Year of Start	2021
8	Design	RBD
9	Treatments	T <sub>1</sub> -Recommended dose of NPK (RDF) T <sub>2</sub> -RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution T <sub>3</sub> -Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K T <sub>4</sub> -T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution T <sub>5</sub> -T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP T <sub>6</sub> -T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP T <sub>7</sub> -T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP T <sub>8</sub> -T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP T <sub>9</sub> -T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP T <sub>10</sub> -T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP
10	<ul> <li>a) No. of Replications</li> <li>b) Plot Size</li> <li>c) Date of planting</li> <li>d) Date of harvest</li> <li>e) Plot No</li> <li>f) Variety</li> </ul>	3 6.75 m X 6 m =40.5 m <sup>2</sup> 23-12-2020 17-01-2022 11 CoSnk 15104 (SNK 09227)

#### Results

#### Yield and yield attributes (Table 3)

Sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution with recommended dose of fertilizer followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP recorded significantly higher cane yield of 137.66 t/ha which was on par with and RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90

and 120 DAP (T<sub>6</sub>) (129.90 t/ha) and RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (T<sub>5</sub>) (125.70 t/ha). Single cane weight and NMC followed the same trend .

#### Juice quality (Table 4)

Quality parameter *viz.*, per cent brix, pol, purity and CSS did not differ due to the sett soaking and foliar application of PSAP. However, RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP recorded significantly higher sugar yield of 16.12 t/ha as compared to other treatment combinations .

#### **Economics (Table 9)**

Significantly higher net returns (Rs 251648 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP than other trements. However, higher B:C ratio (2.97) was recorded in Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF).

#### Conclusion

- Sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution with recommended dose of fertilizer followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP recorded significantly higher cane yield.
- Foliar application of PSAP performed better over and above with the application of RDF.
- Higher net returns were recorded with RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP. However, due to higher market price of PSAP and application rate higher B:C ratio was recorded in RDF.

#### Initial composite soil sample properties of the site

#### **Chemical properties**

pН	EC	Avail	$OC(\theta/)$		
(1:2.5)	(dS/m) (1:2.5)	Ν	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	UC (%)
7.79	0.35	247.1	37.2	439.1	0.53

**Physical properties** 

Sand (%)	Fine sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	
7.8	8.3	25.2	58.7	
Bulk density (gm cc <sup>-1</sup> )		Infiltration	rate (cm hr <sup>-1</sup> )	
1.29		0.46		

Treatment details		Germination count ('000 ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
		<b>30 DAP</b>	45 DAP	
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	29.21	36.40	
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	32.93	38.60	
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	28.61	35.20	
<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	$T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	30.67	39.10	
Т	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90			
15	and 120 DAP	34.04	42.24	
Т.	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90			
16	and 120 DAP	35.42	43.64	
T-	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at			
17	60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	35.52	45.04	
T	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90			
18	and 120 DAP	31.18	39.16	
Т.	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90			
19	and 120 DAP	33.15	40.12	
T	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at			
<b>I</b> 10	60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	33.35	40.15	
S. E		1.25	1.54	
CD	(P=0.05)	3.64	4.48	

Table 1 Effect of PSAP on germination of plant can	ie
----------------------------------------------------	----

 Table 2. Effect of PSAP on tiller count of plant cane

Treatment details	Tiller count ( <b>'000 ha</b> <sup>-1</sup> )			
	<b>90 DAP</b>	120 DAP	150 DAP	

CD	(P=0.05)	14.18	15.05	16.33
S. F	. <b>m.</b> ±	4.86	5.16	5.59
1 10	at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	122.40	131.20	141.50
Tia	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 %			
19	60, 90 and 120 DAP	121.90	130.10	138.60
Те	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at			
18	60, 90 and 120 DAP	119.50	126.90	136.80
Тс	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at			
17	at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	139.50	148.20	160.10
т.	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 $\%$			
16	60, 90 and 120 DAP	138.50	147.20	159.50
Т	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at			
15	60, 90 and 120 DAP	133.50	142.20	155.60
Т.	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 $\%$ at			
<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	$T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	117.90	124.20	134.70
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	115.80	122.90	132.50
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	129.80	136.70	149.50
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	127.50	135.50	147.90

Treatment details		Single cane	NMC	Cane
		weight	('000	yield
		(kg)	ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	1.79	89.25	119.70

Table 3. Effect of PSAP on yield parameters and yield of plant cane

<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	1.87	95.36	121.90
T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	1.01	79.84	97.50
T <sub>4</sub>	$T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	1.29	81.56	99.20
_	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP ( $a\!\!\!\!$ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at			
T <sub>5</sub>	60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.89	95.50	125.70
_	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at			
T <sub>6</sub>	60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.96	95.59	129.90
_	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and			
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	2.31	96.83	137.66
_	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at			
<b>T</b> 8	60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.68	82.15	104.23
_	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at			
<b>T</b> 9	60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.69	84.52	109.25
_	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and			
T <sub>10</sub>	1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	1.73	88.18	113.90
S. F	Cm. ±	0.07	3.43	4.45
CD	(P=0.05)	0.20	10.12	13.01

Fable 4. Effect of PSAP on	quality parameters of	plant cane
----------------------------	-----------------------	------------

	Treatment details	Brix (%)	Pol (%)	Purity (%)	CSS (%)	CCS yield (t/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	20.95	17.94	85.61	12.22	14.64
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	20.86	17.94	86.06	12.24	14.93
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	20.86	17.76	85.15	12.06	11.76
T <sub>4</sub>	$T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	21.67	18.34	84.60	12.41	12.31

T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	20.40	17.48	85.71	11.91	14.95
T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	20.34	17.39	85.52	11.83	15.37
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	20.51	17.33	84.50	11.72	16.12
<b>T</b> 8	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	19.95	17.19	86.18	11.75	12.24
T9	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	20.84	17.95	86.18	12.27	13.38
T <sub>10</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	20.61	17.92	86.97	12.30	14.00
S. E	Cm. ±	0.39	0.30	0.70	0.21	0.53
CD	(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.54

Table 5. Effect of PSAP	on growth parameters of	plant cane at harvest
-------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

	Treatment details	Cane height (m)	Cane girth (cm)
<b>T</b> 1	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	2.79	2.76
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	2.81	2.76
<b>T</b> 3	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	2.67	2.76
T <sub>4</sub>	$T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	2.94	2.81
<b>T</b> 5	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	2.80	2.82

CD	(P=0.05)	NS	NS
S. E	Cm. ±	0.08	0.06
T <sub>10</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	2.90	2.77
T9	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	2.76	2.82
<b>T</b> 8	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	2.60	2.83
<b>T</b> 7	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	2.83	2.91
T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	2.72	2.84

Table 6. Effect of PSAP	on the	post-harvest soil	parameters of	plant cane
-------------------------	--------	-------------------	---------------	------------

	Treatment details	Bulk Density (g cc <sup>-1</sup> )	Infiltratio n rate (cm hr <sup>-1</sup> )	рН	Electrical Conductivi ty (ds m <sup>-1</sup> )	Organic Carbon (%)
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	1.27	0.46	7.76	0.31	0.59
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	1.28	0.47	7.81	0.32	0.61
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	1.29	0.48	7.79	0.32	0.57
T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	1.26	0.46	7.82	0.35	0.53

<b>T</b> 5	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.28	0.45	7.80	0.34	0.53
T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.27	0.43	7.74	0.33	0.52
<b>T</b> 7	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	1.28	0.44	7.78	0.35	0.58
<b>T</b> 8	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.27	0.47	7.79	0.34	0.57
T9	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.28	0.48	7.73	0.35	0.59
T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	1.29	0.45	7.79	0.34	0.61
S. F	E <b>m.</b> ±	0.98	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01
CD	(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Init	tial values	1.29	0.46	7.79	0.35	0.53

Twole it blieve of a state of married working of praine early as
------------------------------------------------------------------

	Treatment details	Nutrient uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
		Ν	Р	K		
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	309.50	42.50	282.10		
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	307.50	43.70	284.50		
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	262.50	32.10	259.40		
<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	$T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	264.50	35.60	260.10		
-	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90					
<b>T</b> 5	and 120 DAP	314.50	43.50	256.10		
т.	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90					
16	and 120 DAP	315.40	44.80	288.90		

Init	ial soil status	247.1	37.2	439.1
CD	(P=0.05)	33.25	4.57	30.39
S. E	čm. ±	11.39	1.56	10.41
<b>I</b> 10	80, 100 and 120 DAP	292.50	39.50	271.80
т	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60,			
<b>T</b> 9	and 120 DAP	290.40	38.10	270.10
Т	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90			
18	and 120 DAP	287.60	37.80	268.40
Т.	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90			
17	80, 100 and 120 DAP	317.50	46.50	292.50
т_	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60,			

Table 8. Effect of PSAP on post harvest available soil nutrient status of plant cane

	Treatment details	Available nutrient status (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
		Ν	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	197.50	36.40	369.70	
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	199.40	35.20	367.30	
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	240.50	36.80	392.40	
<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	$T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	242.50	33.30	391.70	
T	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90				
<b>T</b> 5	and 120 DAP	192.00	35.40	395.70	
т	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90				
16	and 120 DAP	191.10	34.10	362.90	
т	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60,				
17	80, 100 and 120 DAP	189.00	32.40	359.30	

T	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90			
18	and 120 DAP	218.90	38.50	383.40
Т.	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90			
19	and 120 DAP	216.10	39.50	381.70
<b>T</b>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60,			
1 10	80, 100 and 120 DAP	214.00	39.40	380.00
S. F	Cm. ±	8.05	1.38	14.55
CD	(P=0.05)	23.51	4.02	33.12
Init	ial soil status	247.1	37.2	439.1

 Table 9. Effect of PSAP on economic parameters of plant cane cultivation

Tre	atment details	Cost of	Gross	Net	B:C
	atment uctans	cultivation	returns	returns	Ratio
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	112986	335160	222174	2.97
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	118986	341320	222334	2.87
<b>T</b> 3	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	111215	273000	161785	2.45
T <sub>4</sub>	$T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	117215	277760	160545	2.37
Τs	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP ( $a$ ) 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 %				
15	at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	132861	351960	219099	2.65
T	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP ( $a$ ) 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 %				
-0	at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	133086	363720	230634	2.73
T-	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and				
17	1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	133786	385434	251648	2.88
Та	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 %				
18	at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	131090	291844	160754	2.23

CD	(P=0.05)	-	36389	36389	0.29
<b>S. F</b>	2 <b>m.</b> ±	-	12467	0.10	
1 10	1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	132015	318920	186905	2.42
T	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and				
19	at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	131315	305900	174585	2.33
Т	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 $\%$				

#### **UCHANI CENTRE**

AS- 76	76         : Evaluation of Potassium Salt of Active Phosphorus (PSAP) in Sugarcane						
Objec	<b>Dbjective</b> : To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality						
Year o	of start	:	2020-21				
Treatm	ents:						
T1	100% RDF* through soil- Control plot-1						
T2	T <sub>1</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution						
T3	$T_2 + 12.5$	5 k	g PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays				
T4	T <sub>2</sub> + 15 k	ig F	SAP/ha through foliar in three sprays				
T5	$T_2 + 25$	kg I	PSAP/ha through foliar in four sprays				
<b>T6</b>	RDN and 50% of P and K- Control plot-2						
<b>T7</b>	T <sub>6</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution						
<b>T8</b>	$T_7$ + 12.5 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays						
Т9	T <sub>7</sub> + 15	kg ]	PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays				
T10	T <sub>7</sub> + 25	kg ]	PSAP/ha through foliar in four sprays				

The experiment consisting of ten treatments was planted on mid maturing variety CoH 13263 at 120 cm row spacing on March 10, 2021 in spring season in randomized block design with three replications. The recommended dose of NPK 150-50-50 (T1-T5) and 150-25-25 NPK kg/ha (T6-T10) was applied in spring sugarcane. Full dose of P and K as per treatments was applied in furrows at the time of planting. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture with low in organic carbon (0.40), medium in available phosphorus (11.7 kg/ha) and medium in available K (178 kg/ha). The crop was raised as per package of practices of the region. The crop was harvested on March 10, 2022. The crop was irrigated at 8-10 days and 20 days interval during pre-monsoon and post-monsoon season, respectively.

Germination, growth parameters and yield attributes were significantly affected by different treatments of sett soaking and foliar spray of PSAP at different intervals. Significantly higher germination and tillers were recorded with dipping of setts in 0.8% PSAP solution as compared to untreated control. No significant difference in germination percent was recorded between RDF and RDN-50% P & K fertility levels. Significantly higher number of tillers, NMC, cane weight and cane yield were recorded in RDF as compared RDN-50% P & K fertility levels. Significantly highest cane yield was recorded in T5 (RDF+ sett treatment+ 25 kg/ha PSAP) and T10 (RDN-50 % P & K + sett treatment + 25 kg/ha PSAP) treatments as compared to their respective control treatments. Percent increase in cane yield and yield attributes was higher under RDN-50% P & K fertility treatments as compared to RDF treatments.

	Treatments	Germ	Tillers	NMC	Cane	Cane
	1 Cathenes	inatio	(000/ha	(000/h	weight	viold
		n (%)	(000/11a	(000) a)	(a)	(t/ha)
T1	100% RDF* through soil- Control plot-1	46.9	125.8	93.5	918	82.8
T2	T <sub>1</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP** solution	52.7	135.7	103.1	969	90.7
T3	$T_2$ + 12.5 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	53.0	141.8	108.8	1028	98.5
T4	$T_2$ + 15 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	52.6	144.2	113.0	1061	108.4
T5	$T_2 + 25$ kg PSAP/ha through foliar in four sprays	53.9	146.3	116.2	1082	115.6
<b>T6</b>	RDN and 50% of P and K- Control plot-2	44.0	110.6	80.7	870	72.0
<b>T7</b>	T <sub>6</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	49.8	123.5	91.1	934	78.2
<b>T8</b>	T <sub>7</sub> + 12.5 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	50.3	128.2	97.0	998	87.8
Т9	$T_7 + 15$ kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	49.6	130.1	101.2	1020	95.3
T1 0	T <sub>7</sub> + 25 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in four sprays	50.6	132.4	105.3	1045	103.5
CD at 5%		4.8	10.2	8.4	92	7.3

Table 10: Effect of different treatments on growth and yield of sugarcane

**Summary**: Significantly higher germination (52.7, 49.8%) and tillers (135.7, 123.5 thousand/ha) were recorded with dipping of setts in 0.8% PSAP solution as compared to untreated controls. No significant difference in germination percent was recorded between RDF (46.9%) and RDN-50 % P & K fertility levels (44.0%). Significantly highest cane yield was recorded in T5-RDF+ sett treatment+ 25 kg/ha PSAP (115.6 t/ha) and T10-RDN-50 % P & K + sett treatment + 25 kg/ha PSAP (103.5) treatments as compared to their respective control treatments T1 and T6. Percent increase in cane yield and yield attributes due to application of PSAP was comparatively higher under RDN-50% P & K fertility treatments as compared to RDF treatments.

#### **Report for the year 2022-23**

"Evaluation of PSAP on Growth, Yield and Quality of Sugarcane in Different Agro-Climatic Zones of the Country"

#### COIMBATORE CENTRE

AS-76: Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality.
1.	Project No.	AS -76 AICRP					
2.	Title	Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of					
		sugarcane growth, yield and quality					
3.	Project Leader:	Dr. P. Geetha, Senior Scientist					
	Associate :	Dr. S. Anusha, Scientist, and Dr. V. Krishnapriya					
4.	Objectives	• To work out the optimum dose and schedule of PSAP					
		application in sugarcane crop					
		• To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth,					
		yield and juice quality					
		• To analyse the impact of the product on soil fertility					
		and cultivation economics					
5.	Details of the	Design: RBD					
	treatment/ technical	T1 - Application of RDF without sett soaking,					
	programme	T2 - RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution,					
		T3 - Recommended N, 50 % P and 50% K,					
		T4 - T3 + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution,					
		T5 - T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $(a)$ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.8 at					
		60, 90 and 120 DAP,					
		T6 $-T2 + Foliar$ spray of PSAP (a) 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10					
		at					
		60, 90 and 120 DAP,					
		17 - 12 + 100 Foliar spray of PSAP (a) 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and					
		1.10 at 60, 80,100 and 120 DAP,					
		18 - 14 + Foliar spray of PSAP (a) 0.4, 0.65, 0.8 and 1.10					
		1.10					
		at 60, 90 and 120 DAP, T0 T4 $\downarrow$ E lie summer of DSAD $\oplus$ 0.4.0 (5 cm 1.1.10 ct					
		19 - 14 + Foliar spray of PSAP (0, 0.4, 0.65  and  1.10  at)					
		$T_{10} = T_{4} + F_{0} = F_{$					
		1 10 at 60, 80 100 and 120 DAP					
6	Plot size	1.10  at  00, 80,100  and  120  DAL.					
0.							
7.	Replication	3					
8.	Date of start	12.03.2022					
9.	Date of harvest	02.04.2023					

Soil Texture	Clay loam
Soil pH	8.2
EC (dS/m)	0.47
Available Nutrient status (Kg/	ha)
Nitrogen	143.21
P <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>5</sub>	25.18
K <sub>2</sub> O	421.11
Organic carbon	0.53

#### **Table 1. Initial Soil Nutrient status**

#### **Results:**

The experiment on evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality was initiated during March 2022. The experiment was conducted in randomized Block Design with three replications and 12 treatments. The treatment includes Juice analysis done at 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> months after planting revealed that nutrient management had no effect on juice quality parameters. The crop was harvested after twelve months and NMC, cane height, cane girth, number of nodes, cane weight, and cane yield per plot were recorded.

#### Yield and yield attributes. (Table 2)

The influence of PSAP-based nutrient management on yield attributes were found nonsignificant However, PSAP-based nutrient management in plant sugarcane crop showed better cane yield (123 t/ha) with sett soaking @ 0.8% PSAP solution + RDN, 50 % P and 50% K + foliar spray of <u>PSAP @ 0.4</u>, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 during 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP. The quality parameters such as Brix%, Sucrose %, Purity and CCS% did not vary due to the application of PSAP, however the high sugar yield (16.54 t/ha) was recorded with 100% Nitrogen +50% PK + sett soaking + four FS (0.4, 0.65, 1.1 and 1.1% PSAP).

Table 2: Yield and yield attributes as influenced by PSAP in plant cane (2022-23)

Treatments	Cane height (cm)	Cane girth (mm)	SCW (kg)	NMC (x10 <sup>3</sup> )	Cane Yield (t/ha)
100% RDF + WSS	231.7	32.43	1.8	66.56	103.84
100% RDF + SS (0.8% PSAP)	227.8	32.49	1.6	68.64	96.44
100% N + 50% PK +WSS	237.2	33.99	1.8	59.60	97.13
100% N + 50% PK +SS (0.8% PSAP)	245.0	32.53	1.9	45.65	87.42
100% RDF+SS+ three FS (0.4,0.65, 0.8% PSAP)	232.2	31.32	1.5	61.60	93.03
100% RDF+SS+ three FS(0.4,0.65,1.1% PSAP)	223.9	32.81	1.5	56.56	102.29
100% RDF + SS+ four FS(0.4,0.65,1.1,1.1)	236.1	33.16	1.8	57.28	103.94
100% N +50% PK +SS + four FS(0.4, 0.65, 0.8 and 1.1% PSAP)	242.0	34.17	1.9	60.40	102.67
100%N +50% PK+ SS+ three FS(0.4, 0.65 and 1.1% PSAP)	227.8	32.45	1.6	72.88	113.69
100%N +50% PK+SS+ four FS(0.4, 0.65, 1.1 and 1.1% PSAP)	230.2	31.32	1.7	81.16	124.39
SED	10.54	1.32	0.18	7.7	13.9
CD	NS	NS	NS	16.2	25.3

RDF: Recommended dose of fertilizers, WSS: Without sett soaking, SS: Sett soaking FS:

Foliar spray

Treatments	Brix (%)	Sucrose (%)	Purity (%)	CCS (%)	CCS Yield (t/ha)
100% RDF + WSS	18.3	18.30	92.17	13.4	13.91

Table 3: Quality parameters as influenced by PSAP in plant cane (2022-23)

100% RDF + SS (0.8% PSAP)	20.4	18.73	92.13	13.2	12.73
100% N + 50% PK +WSS	20.1	18.37	91.67	12.9	12.53
100% N + 50% PK +SS (0.8% PSAP)	19.9	17.73	89.83	12.3	10.75
100% RDF+SS+ three FS (0.4,0.65,	20.0	18.73	93 60	13.3	12.37
0.8% PSAP)			75.00		
100% RDF+SS+ three	18.9	18.00	06.20	12.9	13.20
FS(0.4,0.65,1.1% PSAP)			90.20		
100% RDF + SS+ four	19.4	18.60	06.22	13.3	13.82
FS(0.4,0.65,1.1,1.1)			90.25		
100% N +50% PK +SS + four FS(0.4,	19.4	18.20	04.20	12.9	13.24
0.65, 0.8 and 1.1% PSAP)			94.20		
100%N +50% PK+ SS+ three FS(0.4,	19.5	18.83	06 72	13.6	15.46
0.65 and 1.1% PSAP)			90.75		
100%N +50% PK+SS+ four FS (0.4,	18.9	18.40	07.52	13.3	16.54
0.65, 1.1 and 1.1% PSAP)			97.55		
SED	1.014	0.65	4.10	0.71	0.55
CD	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.03

#### **GURDASPUR CENTRE**

AS-76	:	Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality
Objective	:	<ol> <li>To work out the optimum dose and schedule of PSAP application in sugarcane crop.</li> <li>To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality.</li> <li>To analyse the impact of the product on soil fertility and cultivation economics.</li> </ol>
Year of start	:	2021 (to be carried out for two consecutive years)

#### **Treatment details:**

T1- Recommended dose of NPK

- T2- RDF + Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution
- T3- Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K
- T4- T3 + Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution
- T5-T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 0.80% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP
- T6- T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 1.10% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP
- T7-T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 1.10 & 1.10% @ 60, 80, 100 & 120 DAP
- T8- T4+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 0.80% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP
- T9- T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 1.10% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP
- T10- T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 1.10 & 1.10% @ 60, 80, 100 & 120 DAP

The experiment was started in spring 2021-2022 as ratoon on dated 10-03-2022 as well as new plantation on dated 11-03-2022 in Randomized Block Design for evaluating efficacy of PSAP.

Variety CoPB 96 was planted at a spacing of 120 cm. Recommended dose of NPK in sugarcane was given to the crop along with sett soaking and foliar spray of PSAP which was split as per the treatments. The crop was raised as per the package and practices of the PAU, Ludhiana.

#### Effect of PSAP in plant crop:

Significantly higher germination (52.9%) was observed in treatment T8 (T4+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 & 0.80% @ 60, 90 & 120 DAP) over T1, T2 and T3 treatments while other treatments were at par with T8 treatment. Significantly higher NMC (110.0 thousand/ha) was recorded in T10 (T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 1.10 & 1.10% @ 60, 80, 100 & 120 DAP) which was at par with treatments T8 and T9 treatments among other treatments. There was no significant difference with respect to cane girth and CCS % but higher cane girth was recorded with T4 treatment (2.97 cm) and CCS with T2 treatment (14.48 %). There is significant difference among different treatments with respect to cane length, cane yield and CCS. T10 recorded significantly higher cane length being at par with treatments T7, T8 and T9 respectively. Significantly highest cane yield (110.90 t/ha) and CCS (15.54 t/ha) was observed with the application of T7 (T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 1.10 & 1.10% @ 60, 80, 100 & 120 DAP) which was at par with treatment T6 (for cane yield) and treatments T5 and T6 (for CCS t/ha) among all other treatments (Table 6).

Treatments	Germination (%)	NMC (000/ha)	Cane Girth	Cane Length	Cane Yield	CCS (%)	CCS (t/ha)
			(cm)	(cm)	(t/na)		
T1	43.1	86.8	2.80	275.7	92.80	14.03	13.03
T2	43.7	89.2	2.83	273.0	94.93	14.48	13.74
Т3	43.7	92.2	2.86	257.0	82.59	14.21	11.74
T4	46.6	94.0	2.97	261.7	84.15	14.08	11.85
T5	46.8	95.9	2.93	264.3	98.72	14.09	13.92
T6	48.3	98.0	2.83	268.3	102.04	14.26	14.55

Table 6: Effect of PSAP on enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality in plant crop.

T7	50.5	98.7	2.90	293.0	110.90	14.01	15.54
T8	52.9	112.9	2.90	297.0	86.90	13.71	11.93
Т9	50.8	105.0	2.93	296.3	89.64	14.01	12.56
T10	52.3	116.0	2.93	300.0	91.20	14.21	12.96
CD at 5%	6.4	12.6	NS	16.2	11.99	NS	1.80

#### Effect of PSAP in ratoon crop:

Significantly higher germination (34.7%) was observed in treatment T8 (T4+ Foliar spray of PSAP (a) 0.4, 0.65 & 0.80% (a) 60, 90 & 120 DAP) over T1, T3, T5 and T6 treatments while other treatments were at par with T8 treatment. Significantly higher NMC (90.7 thousand/ha) was recorded in T7 (T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP (a) 0.4, 0.65 1.10 & 1.10% (a) 60, 80, 100 & 120 DAP) which was significantly superior over T3, T4 and T8 treatments. There was no significant difference with respect to cane girth, CCS % and CCS t/ha but higher cane girth was recorded with T7 treatment (2.33 cm), CCS with T3 treatment (14.26 %) and CCS t/ha with T10 treatment (13.18 t/ha). There is significant difference among different treatments with respect to cane length and cane yield with respect to different treatment levels. T2 recorded significantly higher cane length being at par with treatments T4, T7, T8, T9 and T10 respectively. Significantly highest cane yield (96.52 t/ha) was observed with the application of T7 (T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP (a) 0.4, 0.65 1.10 & 1.10% (a) 60, 80, 100 & 120 DAP) which was at par with treatment T5 and T6 among all other treatments (Table 7).

Treatments	Germination	NMC	Cane	Cane	Cane	CCS	CCS
	(%)	(000/ha)	Girth	Length	Yield	(%)	(t/ha)
			(cm)	(cm)	(t/ha)		
T1	30.7	82.7	2.17	199.7	84.71	14.11	11.34
T2	32.7	81.8	2.20	211.3	85.37	14.09	11.49
T3	28.0	69.0	2.13	194.3	77.90	14.26	11.91
T4	32.3	71.2	2.17	207.7	79.33	14.18	11.15
T5	31.0	85.2	2.23	197.0	86.23	13.89	12.09
T6	29.0	87.8	2.23	190.3	93.07	14.15	12.06
T7	32.7	90.7	2.33	207.3	96.52	14.08	11.34
T8	34.7	77.8	2.33	205.3	80.47	14.08	12.43
T9	34.0	81.7	2.33	202.0	80.61	14.12	12.78
T10	33.0	82.8	2.20	206.3	83.93	14.09	13.18
CD at 5%	3.6	10.7	NS	10.9	10.32	NS	NS

Table 7: Effect of PSAP on enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality in ratoon crop.

#### LUCKNOW CENTER

### Title: Evaluation of PSAP on Growth, Yield and Quality of Sugarcane in Different Agro-Climatic Zones of the Country

#### **Objective of Trials:**

1. To work out the optimum dose and schedule of PSAP application in sugarcane.

2. To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality.

3. To analyze the impact of the product on soil fertility and cultivation economics.

#### Methodology

Experiment was conducted at research farm of ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research Lucknow. 10 treatments were replicated thrice and analyzed in RBD design. Planting of Colk 09204 variety at 120 cm spacing has been done. Initial set soaking with PSAP has been performed as per the technical program. Inter-culture operations were performed during the month of April and May. Foliar application of PSAP was done according to the different rate and days after planting which was guided in technical program.

#### Treatments

T1: Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)

T<sub>2</sub>: RDF+ Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution

T<sub>3</sub>:T<sub>2</sub>+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha)

T4: T2+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha)

**T<sub>5</sub>:** T<sub>2</sub>+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (25 kg PSAP/ha)

T<sub>6</sub>: Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K

**T<sub>7</sub>:**  $T_6$ + Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution

**T<sub>8</sub>:** T<sub>7</sub>+ Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha)

**T**<sub>9</sub>: T<sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha)

T<sub>10</sub>: T<sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP

### (25 kg PSAP/ha)

#### Results

#### Table 1 effect of different PSAP treatments of and yield of sugarcane plant crop 2022-23

Treatments	Shoot count	NMC	Yield
		000/ha	t/ha
T <sub>1</sub> :Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	92911.88	93582.38	78.45
T <sub>2</sub> :RDF+ Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP	86590.04	85440.61	85.82
solution			
T <sub>3</sub> :T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and	88643.68	87643.68	81.99
0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg			
PSAP/ha)			
T <sub>4</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and	88601.53	87835.25	76.82
1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha)			
T <sub>5</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10	95977.01	89272.03	74.90
and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (25 kg			
PSAP/ha)			
T <sub>6</sub> : Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	94827.59	87452.11	78.35
$T_7$ : $T_6$ + Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	89846.74	89367.82	76.63
T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and	89655.17	83141.76	86.69
0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg			
PSAP/ha)			
T <sub>9</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and	86302.68	84099.62	85.73
1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha)			
$T_{10}$ : $T_7$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65,	94731.80	84099.62	86.88
1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP			
(25 kg PSAP/ha)			

The second plant crop was grown to verify the applied effect of PSAP but on second plant crop the treatment of PSAP could not impose due to some technical reason. However from above information Table 1 it is evident that the sugarcane plant crop gave good number of shoot, NMC and yield. In this regard the highest shoots were recorded from treatment in designate  $T_5$  while lowest one from treatment in designate  $T_9$ . The maximum yield was observed from Treatment in designate  $T_{10}$ .

# Table 2 effect of different PSAP treatments of and yield of sugarcane ration crop of 2021-22

Treatments	Shoot	NMC (000/ha)	Yield
	count		(t/ha)
T <sub>1</sub> :Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	31738.68	25874.49	13.32
T <sub>2</sub> :RDF+ Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	50308.64	41152.26	21.66

T <sub>3</sub> :T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and	61059.67	50874.49	32.97
0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha)			
T <sub>4</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4, 0.65 and	59722.22	50925.93	27.31
1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha)			
T <sub>5</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10	35339.51	26594.65	19.50
and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (25 kg			
PSAP/ha)			
T <sub>6</sub> : Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	43981.48	33950.62	12.50
T <sub>7</sub> : T <sub>6</sub> + Sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	56378.60	45627.57	15.74
T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and	66820.99	57561.73	22.89
0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha)			
T <sub>9</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4, 0.65 and	42901.23	33899.18	22.33
1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (15 kg PSAP/ha)			
T <sub>10</sub> : T <sub>7</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10	19495.88	19238.68	14.76
and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP			
(25 kg PSAP/ha)			
SEm±	4749.91	4272.03	2.81
CD	14112.68	12692.86	8.37

In the present investigation it was revealed that highest shoot (66820.99) count Table 2 was found in the treatment  $T_8 (T_{7}+$  Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha), which was significantly higher than  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_9$ ,  $T_{10}$  and at par with  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_7$  and  $T_8$ . lowest number of shoots (19495.88) were counted in the  $T_{10} (T_{10}: T_7 +$  Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP(25 kg PSAP/ha). Similarly highest NMC (57561.73) was also recorded in the treatment  $T_8$  which was significantly higher than  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_9$ ,  $\& T_{10}$  and at remain statistically similar with  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_7$ . The yield recorded in ratoon crop was very low and the maximum yield was found in the  $T_8 (T_7+$  Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (12.5 kg PSAP/ha) treatment it was superior to the  $T_1$ , and  $T_6$  while remain similar to all other treatments.

One important thing is necessary to mention here the treatment effect could not visualize clearly because the above crop was severely affected from mealy bug and other disease and many plots having nearly complete loss of plant population.

#### **KOLHAPUR CENTRE**

AS 76: Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality influenced during 10 months crop age \* (Sponsored trial)

Treatment	CCS %	Sucrose %	Brix 0	Purity %	Extraction %	Stalk Length (cm)	Stalk diameter (cm)	Single cane weight (kg)	Tilllering count (000/ha) 120 DAP	Germination % 30 DAP
T1	9.01	14.30	19.24	72.32	42.73	221.67	2.84	1.39	120.30	55.79

Т2	8.74	13.74	17.31	72.09	38.40	210.00	2.41	2.04	113.67	46.84
Т3	8.89	13.76	17.31	72.16	39.83	21.67	2.46	1.21	114.73	51.62
T4	9.00	13.88	18.48	72.19	42.00	215.00	2.48	1.21	120.00	52.24
Т5	9.05	14.38	19.48	72.84	43.90	223.33	2.89	1.41	125.10	57.49
Т6	9.05	14.66	20.18	73.21	43.90	235.00	2.91	1.52	125.17	57.56
T7	9.21	14.74	20.34	77.94	51.53	255.00	3.11	1.81	132.93	62.58
Т8	9.16	14.69	20.31	77.90	47.63	250.00	3.00	1.79	131.03	57.79
Т9	9.46	15.33	21.25	79.50	52.00	278.34	3.18	1.89	135.40	64.63
T10	9.08	14.66	20.24	74.34	46.97	248.33	2.96	1.66	128.97	57.72
Mean	9.07	14.41	19.41	74.45	44.89	215.83	2.82	1.59	125.22	56.43
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.06	0.18	0.42	0.49	0.92	8.67	0.09	0.06	4.57	2.67
CD at 5 %	0.17	0.52	1.26	1.45	2.75	25.95	0.28	0.17	13.69	7.98
C.V. (%)	1.08	2.10	3.76	1.13	3.54	6.40	5.67	6.67	6.35	8.18

AS 76: Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality influenced during 12 months crop age \* (Sponsored trial)

Treat ment	CC S (t/h a)	Cane yield (t/ha)	CCS % (12m)	Sucr ose % (12m )	Brix 0 (12 m)	Purit y % (12m )	NMC at 12 m (000/h a)	Extrac tion% (12m)	Stalk length (12m)	Stalk diam eter (12m )	Single cane wt(12 m) kg
T1	16.7 6	120.7 1	13.93	19.73	21.1 4	64.53	80.86	46.87	265.00	3.11	1.39
T2	12.0 7	85.70	13.76	19.47	21.0 0	62.68	73.38	39.07	250.00	2.85	1.06
Т3	13.9 2	99.66	13.83	19.60	21.0 7	62.90	74.69	46.20	253.33	3.02	1.19
T4	14.5 8	104.4 5	13.92	19.70	21.0 7	63.78	77.24	46.23	265.00	3.09	1.28
Т5	17.1 4	120.7 4	13.96	19.75	21.1 7	64.78	81.40	47.60	305.00	3.18	1.48

T6	17.4 9	126.3 0	13.98	19.99	21.7 4	65.42	81.40	50.27	315.00	3.23	1.64
T7	20.5 8	148.7 0	14.09	20.07	22.2 7	66.75	86.65	56.37	335.00	3.27	2.03
Т8	20.3 2	145.2 2	14.03	20.03	21.8 0	66.06	84.49	54.17	326.66	3.27	1.69
Т9	21.7 3	154.3 4	14.38	20.10	22.2 7	67.73	88.50	62.50	348.33	3.55	2.07
T10	20.1 1	142.6 9	14.01	20.02	21.7 4	65.87	83.80	53.40	318.33	3.23	1.66
Mean	17.4 7	124.8 5	13.99	19.85	21.5 3	65.05	81.24	50.27	298.17	3.18	1.55
SE(m) +	0.82	5.86	0.10	0.07	0.15	0.44	2.28	2.16	11.90	0.10	0.08
CD at 5 %	2.44	17.55	0.29	0.20	0.43	1.32	6.81	6.48	35.61	0.30	0.23
C.V. (%)	8.09	8.13	1.21	0.57	1.16	1.18	4.85	7.45	6.91	5.43	8.49

AS 76: Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality influenced during ratoon crop (11 month) (Sponsored trial)

Treatme nt	CC S (t/h a)	Cane yield (t/ha)	CC S % (11 mon th)	Sucros e % (11 month)	Brix <sup>0</sup> (11 mon th)	Purity % (11 mont h)	Extract ion % (11 month)	NMC at (11 month) (000/h a)	Stalk lengt h (cm)	Stalk diam eter (cm)	Single Cane Weigh t (kg )
T1	16.1 6	114.25	14.1 6	19.74	20.50	95.51	45.12	89.74	212.33	2.54	1.19
T2	12.9 6	91.98	14.0 9	19.64	20.30	92.96	42.17	78.17	201.33	2.24	0.94
Т3	13.4 8	95.19	14.0 9	19.68	20.46	95.08	42.88	80.79	206.00	2.52	0.98
T4	13.5 4	96.08	14.1 4	19.71	20.50	95.39	44.30	87.19	210.00	2.53	1.06
Т5	16.5 1	116.13	14.1 7	19.76	20.63	95.52	45.34	90.28	216.67	2.54	1.27
Т6	16.8 1	118.16	14.2 1	19.77	20.66	95.66	46.57	91.59	266.67	2.55	1.28
T7	18.1 6	126.37	14.2 3	19.91	20.93	97.13	53.27	97.53	239.00	3.11	1.57
Т8	17.4 1	122.91	14.2 3	19.90	20.70	96.43	49.20	97.45	230.00	2.84	1.40

Т9	18.2 9	128.55	14.3 7	20.11	21.63	97.28	69.88	98.45	245.33	3.19	1.60
T10	17.4 1	122.29	14.2 2	19.77	20.66	95.84	47.34	92.67	228.00	2.70	1.38
Mean	16.0 7	113.19	14.1 9	19.80	20.70	95.68	48.61	90.39	225.53	2.68	1.27
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.89	6.19	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.28	2.85	3.34	8.40	0.09	0.05
CD at 5 %	2.67	18.52	0.14	0.17	0.23	0.85	8.53	10.00	25.16	0.26	0.15
C.V. (%)	9.59	9.47	0.58	0.50	0.64	0.51	10.36	6.40	6.57	5.60	6.94

## NAYGARH CENTRE

AS 76:	Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane
	growth, yield and quality (Ratoon crop)
Year of initiation	2021 (to be carried out for two consecutive years)
Design	RBD
Treatments	10
Replication	3
Variety	Co Or 03151
<b>Recommended fertilizer</b>	250:100:60 N: P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O kg /ha
dose	
Plot size	6 rows of 6m length
Spacing	120 cm between rows with 3 bud setts
Date of ratooning	28.02.2022
Date of harvest	07.01.2023

**Treatment Details**:

T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution
T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K
T <sub>4</sub>	T3 + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution
T <sub>5</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>6</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>7</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP
T <sub>8</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>9</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>10</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP

# AS 76.1Effect of PSAP application on germination, no. of shootsand yield parameters of sugarcane

48

		Germi %	nation 6	No of s (000,	shoots /ha)	Length of cane	Girth of	Weight of cane
	Treatments	30 D 4 D	45 DAD	120 DAD	180 DAD	(m)	cane	(kg)
T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	<b>DAR</b> 32.53	<b>DAR</b> 42.87	<b>DAR</b> 70.97	<b>DAR</b> 70.37	2.62	(cm) 1.97	1.25
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	33.50	44.83	73.43	71.43	2.61	2.04	1.26
T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	29.23	32.90	65.33	64.73	2.16	1.58	1.03
T <sub>4</sub>	T3 + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	31.33	35.33	67.27	66.67	2.20	1.61	1.04
T5	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	33.03	44.37	72.33	72.42	2.68	2.32	1.35
T <sub>6</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	33.37	46.03	80.57	79.77	2.79	2.35	1.36
T <sub>7</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	35.14	47.47	81.83	81.23	3.11	2.50	1.37
T <sub>8</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	30.24	39.57	67.33	66.73	2.51	2.02	1.29
T9	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	31.53	39.20	72.83	72.23	2.56	2.12	1.30
T <sub>10</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	33.17	41.83	74.57	74.30	2.93	2.24	1.35
	SEm <u>+</u>	1.03	2.19	2.66	2.57	0.15	0.15	0.05
	CD at 5 %	3.06	6.51	7.91	7.62	0.46	0.44	0.14

# AS 76.2Effect of PSAP application on yield and quality of sugarcane

	Treatments	Brix %	NMC ('000/ha)	Cane yield (t/ha)	CCS %	CCS (t/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK	19.76	63.30	79.28	11.00	8.72
	(RDF)					
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 %	20.11	65.03	82.09	11.18	9.17
	PSAP solution					
T3	Recommended N, 50% P and	19.73	59.67	61.63	10.37	6.40
	50% K					
T4	T3 + sett soaking with 0.8 %	19.76	61.60	63.91	10.44	6.68
	PSAP solution					

T <sub>5</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	19.57	67.35	90.70	11.18	10.14
	0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120					
	DAP					
T <sub>6</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	20.30	71.37	96.94	11.25	10.91
	0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120					
	DAP					
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	20.67	74.83	102.11	11.47	11.70
	0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80,					
	100 and 120 DAP					
T <sub>8</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	19.87	63.33	81.70	11.13	9.09
	0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120					
	DAP					
T9	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	20.60	66.50	85.96	11.17	9.60
	0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120					
	DAP					
T <sub>10</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	20.24	69.23	93.32	11.23	10.50
	0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80,					
	100 and 120 DAP					
	SEm <u>+</u>	0.87	2.87	3.19	0.31	0.45
	CD at 5 %	NS	8.53	9.48	NS	1.35

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with ten treatments as per the technical programme on red laterite soil of the experimental farm of Sugarcane Research Station, Nayagarh. The soil was acidic (pH 5.33) in reaction with electrical conductivity of 0.206 dsm-1. Available N content was in lower range (155 kg/ha), but the soil was medium in available P (19.6 kg/ha) and (K 164 kg/ha) content. There is significant difference among treatment for all the parameters like germination %, shoot count, length of cane, girth of cane, weight of cane, Net Millable Cane count, cane yield and CCS yield.Out of the ten treatments, application of RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution along with foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAPi.e T7proved to be the best with highest number of net millable canes (74.83th/ha), cane (102.11 t/ha) and CCS yield (11.70.t/ha). However this treatment is at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub>( i.eapplication of RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution along with foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP)and  $T_{10}$  (i.eapplication of recommended N, 50% P and 50% K + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution along with foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP). The higher yield parameters i.e. number of shoots/ha, weight, length and girth of cane in the above mentioned treatments were the factors of higher cane and CCS yield. This suggests,

the crop responds better to foliar application of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP along with sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution.

AS 76:	Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality (2 <sup>nd</sup> year plant crop)
Year of initiation	2021 (to be carried out for two consecutive years)
Design	RBD
Treatments	10
Replication	3
Variety	Co Or 03151
<b>Recommended fertilizer</b>	250:100:60 N: P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O kg /ha
dose	
Plot size	6 rows of 6m length
Spacing	120 cm between rows with 3 bud setts
Date of Planting	10.02.2022
Date of harvest	10.01.2023

### **Treatment Details**:

T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution
T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K
T <sub>4</sub>	T3 + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution
T <sub>5</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>6</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>7</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP
T <sub>8</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>9</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP
T <sub>10</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP

# AS 76.1Effect of PSAP application on germination, no. of shootsand yield parameters of sugarcane

Treatments		Germination %		No of s	hoots	Length	Girth	Weight
				(000/ha)		of cane	of	of cane
		30	45	120	180	(m)	cane	(kg)
		DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP		(cm)	
T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK	38.73	56.20	81.83	81.20	2.76	1.97	1.28
	(RDF)							
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 %	39.50	56.97	84.57	82.17	2.79	2.05	1.28
	PSAP solution							

T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and	35.23	42.57	75.80	74.90	2.32	1.70	1.02
	50% K							
T4	T3 + sett soaking with 0.8 %	38.33	45.53	78.20	77.33	2.34	1.78	1.08
	PSAP solution							
T <sub>5</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	41.37	55.03	83.47	82.58	2.88	2.31	1.41
	0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120							
	DAP							
T <sub>6</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	41.03	55.23	91.53	90.60	3.04	2.35	1.41
	0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120							
	DAP							
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	44.27	55.81	92.97	91.40	3.18	2.51	1.40
	0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80,							
	100 and 120 DAP							
T <sub>8</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4,	39.57	48.34	77.47	76.90	2.71	2.05	1.30
	0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120							
	DAP							
T9	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4,	39.20	49.03	82.97	82.40	2.73	2.20	1.29
	0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120							
	DAP							
T <sub>10</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4,	40.50	48.83	86.03	84.47	2.91	2.31	1.33
	0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80,							
	100 and 120 DAP							
	SEm <u>+</u>	1.44	2.33	2.97	2.62	0.11	0.15	0.06
	CD at 5 %	4.28	6.94	8.83	7.79	0.32	0.43	0.17

AS 76.2Effect of PSAP application on yield and quality of sugarcane

	Treatments	Brix %	NMC ('000/ha)	Cane yield (t/ha)	CCS %	CCS (t/ha)
$T_1$	Recommended dose of NPK	19.43	73.83	94.65	11.17	10.57
	(RDF)					
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 %	20.11	75.63	97.12	11.28	10.95
	PSAP solution					
T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and	20.07	70.00	71.66	10.84	7.77
	50% K					
T <sub>4</sub>	T3 + sett soaking with 0.8 %	20.09	71.47	76.94	10.84	8.35
	PSAP solution					

T <sub>5</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	20.57	77.68	109.07	11.48	12.53
	0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120					
	DAP					
T <sub>6</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	20.63	81.90	114.97	11.62	13.31
	0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120					
	DAP					
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	T2 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	20.34	85.40	119.48	11.67	13.91
	0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80,					
	100 and 120 DAP					
T <sub>8</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	20.20	71.53	92.73	11.30	10.46
	0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120					
	DAP					
T9	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	20.27	76.03	98.66	11.40	11.29
	0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120					
	DAP					
T <sub>10</sub>	T4 + Foliar spray of PSAP $@$ 0.4,	20.24	79.77	106.02	11.66	12.36
	0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80,					
	100 and 120 DAP					
	SEm <u>+</u>	0.96	2.67	4.30	0.35	0.60
	CD at 5 %	NS	7.94	12.79	NS	1.78

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with ten treatments as per the technical programme on red laterite soil of the experimental farm of Sugarcane Research Station, Nayagarh. The soil was acidic (pH 5.33) in reaction with electrical conductivity of 0.206 dsm-1. Available N content was in lower range (155 kg/ha), but the soil was medium in available P (19.6 kg/ha) and (K 164 kg/ha) content. There is significant difference among treatment for all the parameters like germination %, shoot count, length of cane, girth of cane, weight of cane, Net Millable Cane count, cane yield and CCS yield.Out of the ten treatments, application of RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution along with foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAPi.e T7proved to be the best with highest number of net millable canes (85.40th/ha), cane (119.48 t/ha) and CCS yield (13.91.t/ha). However this treatment is at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub>( i.eapplication of RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution along with foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP)and  $T_{10}$  (i.eapplication of recommended N, 50% P and 50% K + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution along with foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP). The higher yield parameters i.e. number of shoots/ha, weight, length and girth of cane in the above mentioned treatments were the factors of higher cane and CCS yield. This suggests,

the crop responds better to foliar application of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP along with sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution.

#### **PUNE CENTRE**

Project No.	: AS 76
Title	: Evaluation of PSAP on AICRP in Sugarcane at given
	Varieties in different agro climatic zones

#### Objectives

:

- 4. To work out the optimum dose and schedule of PSAP application in sugarcane.
- 5. To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality.
- 6. To analyse the impact of the product on soil fertility and cultivation economics.

Principal Investigator	: Dr. Preeti Deshmukh, Scientist & Head							
Co-principal Investigator	: Mr. S. B. Bramhe							
Soil : Black cotton soil	Design: RBD							
Replication: Three	<b>Spacing</b> : 4.5"							
Plot size	: 54.8m <sup>2</sup>							
Planting season	: Suru							
Variety	: Co 86032							
Location	: Vasanatdada Farm							
Date of Planting	: 27.01.2021(1st plantcane )							
	: 26.02.2022 (Ratoon)							
	: 02.01.2022(2 <sup>nd</sup> Plantcane)							
Date of harvesting	: 26.02.2022							
	: 14.03.2023							
	: 16.03.2023							

#### **Treatment Details:**

- Tl 100% RD (Recommended Dose) of Fertilizers through Soil- Control Plot -1
- **T2-** Tl + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution
- **T3-** T2 + 12.5 Kg PSAP/ha in three foliar sprays at 60 DAP@ 0.40%, 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@0.80%
- **T4** -T2 + 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40%, 90 DAP@ 0.65% and 120 DAP@1.1%

- T5 T2 + 25 kg/ha PSAP in three four spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40%, 80 DAP @ 0.65%, 100 DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%
- T6 Control-2, RDF N 100% and 50% P & K
- T7 T6 + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution
- **T8** T6 + 12.5 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40%, 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@0.80%
- **T9** T6 15 kg/ha PSAP 3 in three foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40%, 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@1.1%
- T10 T6- 25 kg/ha PSAP 3 in four foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40%, 80 DAP @ 0.65%, 100 DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%

#### **Results:**

The field experiment was conducted to study the Evaluation of PSAP on AICRP in sugarcane at given varieties in different agro climatic zones. The pooled data with respect to cane yield, sugar yield, growth parameters, juice quality and economics are presented in Table 1 to 4.

#### Cane yield:

The pooled cane yield data showed that maximum cane yield (115.9 tha<sup>-1</sup>) obtained in treatment T5 where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP (*a*) 25kg/ha in four splits at 60,80,100 and 120 days after planting was found significantly superior over RDF (105.9 tha<sup>-1</sup>). The cane yield (110.6 tha<sup>-1</sup>) obtained in treatment T10 where applied recommended dose of fertilizer (N 100% and 50% P & K) alongwith foliar application of PSAP (*a*) 25kg/ha in four splits at 60,80,100 and 120 days after planting was found significantly superior over RDF (100.3 tha<sup>-1</sup>) where applied RDF (N 100% and 50% P & K).

#### **Commercial Cane Sugar Yield**

The maximum CCS yield (17.09 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) in treatment T5 where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @ 25kg/ha in four splits at 60,80,100 and 120 days after planting was found significantly superior over RDF (16.10 tha<sup>-1</sup>). The CCS yield (16.44 tha<sup>-1</sup>) obtained in treatment T10 where applied 100% recommended dose of nitrogen and 50% recommended dose of phosphorous and potassium along with foliar application of PSAP @ 25kg/ha in four splits at 60,80,100 and 120 days after planting was found significantly superior over RDF (16.10 tha<sup>-1</sup>).

#### **Plant population**

The numerically highest plant population (73.7 thousand ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in treatment T5 where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @

25kg/ha in four splits at 60, 80,100 and 120 days after planting. Foliar application of PSAP in different splits was found non-significant for number of millibale canes.

#### **Growth parameters**

The maximum milliable cane height (234.4 cm) was recorded in treatment T5 where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @ 25kg/ha in four splits at 60,80,100 and 120 days after planting followed by (225.5 cm) was recorded in treatment T4 where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @ 15kg/ha in four splits at,60,90 and 120 days after planting was found significantly superior over RDF (212.4 cm).

Cane girth was significantly increased in treatment T5 (9.19) where applied 100% recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @ 25kg/ha in four splits at, 60, 80,100 and 120 days after planting over RDF (8.21). The data of no. of internode was found more or less same in all the treatments.

#### Juice quality

The juice quality parameters with respect to brix, pol, and purity and CCS % were not affected by foliar application of PSAP in splits.

#### **Economical evaluation**

The highest benefit cost ratio (2.50) where applied 100% RDF (N100% and 50% P& K) followed by 2.49 where applied RDF (N 100% and 50% P & K) with sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution

#### Conclusion

The results concluded that application of recommended dose of fertilizer alongwith foliar application of PSAP @25kg/ha in four spilts increased the cane yield by 10 tha<sup>-1</sup> but the application of recommended dose of fertilizer is found to be economical.

Treatments		Car	ne Yield (	tha <sup>-1</sup> )		CO	CS Yield (	tha <sup>-1</sup> )
	1 <sup>st</sup>	Ratoo	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Poole	1 <sup>st</sup>	Ratoo	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Pooled
	Plantca ne	n	Plantca ne	d mean	Plantca ne	n	Plantc ane	mean
T1- Control – 1, 100% RDF	106.1	93.4	118.1	105.9	15.7	14.1	18.5	16.10
<b>T2-</b> T1+ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	109.2	94.0	119.4	107.5	16.5	14.1	17.6	16.09
<b>T3-</b> T2 + 12.5 Kg /ha PSAP in three foliar sprays at 60 DAP@ 0.40%,90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@0.80%	112.3	92.7	119.9	108.3	16.8	13.9	17.4	16.02

 Table 1: Effect of PSAP on cane and sugar yield

T4-T2 + 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at 60DAP@0.40%,90DAP@0.65and120 DAP@1.1%	113.5	92.2	123.2	109.6	17.3	14.0	19.5	16.94
T5-T2 + 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at 60DAP@0.40%,80DAP@0.65%@,100 DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	118.4	100.3	128.8	115.9	16.9	15.7	18.6	17.09
<b>T6-</b> Control-2, RDF N 100% and 50% P & K	102.0	93.3	107.2	100.8	15.9	14.6	16.2	15.59
<b>T7-</b> T6 + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	106.4	94.1	113.0	104.5	15.6	14.1	16.7	15.45
T8-T6 + 12.5 kg/ha PSAP         three foliar spray           at 60         DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65%           and 120         DAP@0.80%	107.6	89.8	115.1	104.2	15.7	13.7	17.2	15.57
<b>T9-</b> T6 - 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@1.1%	112.1	92.1	120.8	108.3	16.7	13.9	17.3	15.97
T10–T6- 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 80 DAP @ 0.65% @100 DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	115.4	94.3	122.1	110.6	17.0	14.7	17.6	16.44
S.E.D CD at 5%	4.49 9.45	4.83 NS	5.04 10.60	2.13 4.48	0.83 NS	0.77 1.63	0.83 1.75	0.35 0.75

## Table 2: Effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth parameters

Treatments	No. o	of millable	e cane ('00	0/ha)	Mil	lable can	e height (	(cm)
	1 <sup>st</sup>	Ratoo	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Pooled	1 <sup>st</sup>	Rato	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Pooled
	Plantca	n	Plantca	mean	Plantca	on	Plantc	mean
	ne		ne		ne		ane	
<b>T1-</b> Control – 1, 100% RDF	85.33	66.4	90.4	80.7	207.6	209.8	219.9	212.4
T2-T1+ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	83.40	67.3	91.6	80.8	210.4	222.8	227.8	220.3
<b>T3-</b> T2 + 12.5 Kg /ha PSAP in three foliar sprays at 60 DAP@ 0.40%,90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@0.80%	91.03	68.9	95.3	85.1	215.9	219.3	230.4	221.9
T4-T2 + 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at 60DAP@0.40%,90DAP@0.65and120 DAP@1.1%	88.19	64.7	93.7	82.2	226.3	221.6	228.6	225.5

T5-T2 + 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at 60DAP@0.40%,80DAP@0.65%@,100D AP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	91.09	77.3	101.2	89.8	230.4	229.4	243.3	234.4
T6- Control-2, RDF N 100% and 50% P & K	83.52	66.8	87.9	79.4	206.2	218.2	226.4	217.0
<b>T7-</b> T6 + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	84.02	68.8	91.6	81.5	209.1	219.1	222.0	216.7
T8-T6 + 12.5 kg/ha PSAP         three foliar spray at           60         DAP@ 0.40% 90         DAP @ 0.65% and           120         DAP@0.80%	84.73	66.8	94.5	82.0	215.9	223.2	229.6	222.9
<b>T9-</b> T6 - 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@1.1%	89.62	65.6	97.5	84.2	219.3	221.1	227.3	222.6
<b>T10</b> –T6- 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 80 DAP @ 0.65% @100DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	84.11	69.2	98.3	83.9	220.9	226.1	240.4	229.1
S.E.D CD at 5%	3.29 NS	3.19 NS	4.99 NS	2.15 4.51	7.08 14.88	9.17 NS	6.28 13.21	4.32 9.08

## Table 3: Effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth parameters

Treatments		Inter	node		Girth (cm)			
	1 <sup>st</sup>	Rato	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Poole	1 <sup>st</sup>	Rato	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Pool
	Plantc	on	Plantc	d	Plantc	on	Plantc	ed
	ane		ane	mean	ane		ane	mea
								n
<b>T1-</b> Control – 1, 100% RDF	19.6	19.3	21.0	20.0	8.08	8.01	8.54	8.21
T2-T1+ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	20.1	18.8	21.4	20.1	8.11	8.30	8.39	8.26
<b>T3</b> -T2 + 12.5 Kg /ha PSAP in three foliar sprays at 60 DAP@ 0.40%,90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@0.80%	20.2	21.1	21.7	21.0	8.66	8.51	8.46	8.54
T4-T2 + 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at 60DAP @0.40%,90DAP@0.65and120 DAP@1.1%	19.1	19.0	22.6	20.2	8.96	8.31	8.82	8.70
T5-T2 + 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at 60DAP@0.40%,80DAP@0.65%@,100DA P@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	18.6	20.0	22.0	20.2	9.08	9.24	9.24	9.19
T6- Control-2, RDF N 100% and 50% P & K	19.6	19.1	21.0	19.9	8.13	7.97	7.90	8.00
T7- T6 + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	19.8	21.4	22.7	21.3	8.23	8.18	8.26	8.22

T8-T6 + 12.5 kg/ha PSAP         three foliar spray at           60         DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and           120         DAP@0.80%	19.6	19.1	21.3	20.0	8.31	8.39	8.28	8.33
<b>T9-</b> T6 - 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@1.1%	20.1	20.9	21.6	20.9	8.51	8.41	8.77	8.56
T10-T6- 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 80 DAP @ 0.65% @100 DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	18.9	20.6	23.0	20.8	8.43	8.68	9.19	8.76
S.E.D	0.91 NS	0.96	0.89	0.71	0.29	0.37	0.30	0.21
CD at 5%	1NB	1ND	IND	112	18	110	0.04	0.40

## Table 4: Effect of PSAP on sugarcane juice quality

Treatments		Bri	x %			CCS %			
	1 <sup>st</sup> Plant cane	Rato on	2 <sup>nd</sup> Plantc ane	Pool ed mea	1 <sup>st</sup> Plantc ane	Rato on	2 <sup>nd</sup> Plantc ane	Pool ed mea	
				n				n	
<b>T1-</b> Control – 1, 100% RDF	21.2	21.6	20.2	21.0	14.8	15.1	13.6	14.5	
<b>T2-</b> T1+ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	21.7	21.8	20.1	21.2	15.2	15.0	13.6	14.6	
<b>T3-</b> T2 + 12.5 Kg /ha PSAP in three foliar sprays at 60 DAP@ 0.40%,90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120 DAP@0.80%	21.4	21.9	19.1	20.8	14.9	15.0	12.4	14.1	
<b>T4-</b> T2 + 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at 60DAP@0.40%,90DAP@0.65and12 0 DAP@1.1%	21.7	22.0	20.1	21.3	15.2	15.2	13.8	14.7	
T5-T2 + 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at 60DAP@0.40%,80DAP@0.65%@,1 00DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	21.0	22.6	19.3	21.0	14.3	15.7	13.0	14.3	
<b>T6-</b> Control-2, RDF N 100% and 50% P & K	22.4	22.2	19.1	21.2	15.6	15.7	12.8	14.7	
<b>T7-</b> T6 + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	21.3	21.6	19.3	20.7	14.6	15.0	12.6	14.1	
T8-T6 + 12.5 kg/ha PSAP three foliar           spray at 60         DAP@ 0.40% 90           DAP @ 0.65% and 120         DAP@0.80%	21.1	22.3	19.6	21.0	14.6	15.3	13.1	14.3	

<b>T9</b> -T6 - 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP@ 0.65% and 120 DAP@1.1%	21.5	21.9	19.5	20.9	14.9	15.1	13.0	14.3
<b>T10</b> –T6- 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 80 DAP@ 0.65% @100 DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	21.1	22.2	19.9	21.1	14.8	15.6	13.4	14.6
S.E.D	0.44	0.42	0.43	0.26	0.34	0.42	0.47	0.19
CD at 5%	NS							

Table 5: Effect of PSAP on sugarcane juice quality

Treatments		F	Pol		Purity			
	1 <sup>st</sup>	Rato	$2^{nd}$	Poole	$1^{st}$	Rato	$2^{nd}$	Pool
	Plant	on	Plantc	d	Plantc	on	Plantc	ed
	cane		ane	mean	ane		ane	mea
								n
<b>T1-</b> Control – 1, 100% RDF	20.3	20.8	18.9	20.0	96.7	96.0	93.4	95.4
T2-T1+ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP	20.7	20.8	18.9	20.1	96.0	95.0	93.8	94.9
<b>T3-T</b> $^2$ + 12.5 Kg /ha PSAP in three foliar								
sprays at 60				10.6				
DAP@ 0.40%,90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120	20.5	20.8	17.4	19.6	96.0	94.7	91.2	94.0
DAP@0.80%								
T4-T2 + 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray								
at60DAP@0.40%,90DAP@0.65and120	20.9	21.0	18.9	20.3	96.2	95.4	94.3	95.3
DAP@1.1%								
T5-T2 + 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray								
at	19.8	21.6	18 1	19.8	94 7	95 5	93 5	94.6
60DAP@0.40%,80DAP@0.65%@,100	19.0	21.0	10.1	19.00	2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2010	2
DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%								
<b>T6-</b> Control-2, RDF N 100% and 50% P & K	21.5	21.5	17.8	20.2	96.0	96.9	93.0	95.3
T7- T6 + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP	20.2	20.6	177	19.5	94.8	95.6	91 7	94.0
solution	20.2	20.0	17.7	17.5	21.0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<i>J</i> 1.7	71.0
<b>T8-</b> T6 + 12.5 kg/ha PSAP three foliar spray								
at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65%	20.1	21.1	18.3	19.8	95.3	94.8	92.9	94.3
and 120 DAP@0.80%								
<b>T9-</b> T6 - 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray								
at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and	20.5	20.9	18.1	19.8	95.7	95.3	92.8	94.6
120 DAP@1.1%								

T10-T6- 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at 60 DAP@ 0.40% 80 DAP @ 0.65% @100 DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	20.3	21.4	18.6	20.1	96.1	96.4	93.8	95.4
S.E.D	0.30	0.34	0.39	0.18	0.38	1.12	0.69	0.44
CD at 5%	NS							

#### Table 6: Effect of PSAP on economics

Treatment	B: C ratio								
	1 <sup>st</sup>	Ratoon	2 <sup>nd</sup> Plantcane	Pooled					
	Plantcane								
<b>T1-</b> Control – 1, 100% RDF	1.73	3.70	2.11	2.51					
T2-T1+ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	1.74	3.54	2.09	2.46					
<b>T3-</b> T2 + 12.5 Kg /ha PSAP in three foliar sprays									
at 60 DAP@ 0.40%,90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120									
DAP@0.80%	1.59	2.68	1.84	2.04					
T4-T2 + 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at									
60DAP@0.40%,90DAP@0.65and120									
DAP@1.1%	1.57	2.55	1.84	1.99					
<b>T5-</b> T2 + 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at									
60DAP@0.40%,80DAP@0.65%@,100DAP									
@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	1.50	2.33	1.75	1.86					
<b>T6-</b> Control-2, RDF N 100% and 50% P & K	1.74	3.98	2.01	2.58					
T7- T6 + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	1.78	3.81	2.07	2.55					
<b>T8-</b> T6 + 12.5 kg/ha PSAP three foliar spray at									
60 DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and									
120 DAP@0.80%	1.58	2.74	1.84	2.06					
<b>T9-</b> T6 - 15 kg/ha PSAP in three foliar spray at									
60									
DAP@ 0.40% 90 DAP @ 0.65% and 120									
DAP@1.1%	1.61	2.68	1.88	2.06					
T10-T6- 25 kg/ha PSAP in four foliar spray at									
60									
DAP@ 0.40% 80 DAP @ 0.65% @100									
DAP@1.1% and 120 DAP@1.1%	1.51	2.29	1.72	1.84					

#### PUSA CENTRE

# AS 76. Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality (sponsored trial) 2021-22

The data on growth, yield attributes, cane yield, quality parameters, nutrient uptake by sugarcane and available NPK in post harvest soil have been placed from Table 15-17. Treatments showed significant variation in germination percent, tillers, millable canes and single cane weight. Significantly higher cane yield (108.5 t/ha) was obtained with RDF + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution + foliar application of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP (T<sub>7</sub>) which was statistically comparable to T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>5</sub>. Similarly higher sugar yield (14.16 t/ha) was noticed with T<sub>7</sub> which was followed by T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>5</sub>. Similar was the trend in case of N,P and K uptake by sugarcane. Available N,P and K in post harvest soil was found to be non-significant.

#### AS 76. Summary:

Treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution (T<sub>2</sub>) + foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP was equally effective as T<sub>6</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP) and T<sub>5</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP) for better productivity.

Treatment	Germinatio n (%) at 45 DAP	Tillers (× 10 <sup>3</sup> /ha) at 120 DAP	Cane length (cm)	Millable canes (×10 <sup>3</sup> /ha)	Single Cane Weight (g)	Cane yield (t/ha)
T <sub>1</sub> - Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	27.2	97.5	274	76.3	1060	78.6
$T_2$ – RDF + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	30.6	105.0	277	79.5	1141	89.3
T <sub>3</sub> – Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	25.6	93.2	271	73.7	1062	76.7
T <sub>4</sub> -T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	28.1	94.8	277	75.8	1030	77.4
<b>T</b> <sub>5</sub> - <b>T</b> <sub>2</sub> +Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	31.8	110.6	290	84.0	1192	96.8

 Table 1. AS 76: Effect of PSAP on growth and yield attributes and yield of sugarcane (sponsored trial) 2022-23

$T_6 - T_2 +$ Foliar spray of PSAP						
@ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at	32.5	112.3	296	84.7	1220	102.6
60, 90 and 120 DAP						
$T_7 - T_2 +$ Foliar spray of PSAP						
@ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10%	33.2	122.7	302	91.6	1203	108.5
at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP						
$T_8 - T_4 +$ Foliar spray of PSAP						
@ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at	29.5	103.7	280	78.6	1065	83.1
60, 90 and 120 DAP						
T <sub>9</sub> – T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP						
@ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at	28.4	101.8	282	78.5	1090	84.5
60, 90 and 120 DAP						
$T_{10}$ - $T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP						
@ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10%	30.8	106.3	284	80.7	1103	87.9
at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP						
SEm±	1.63	5.89	14.7	4.44	58.3	5.23
CD (P=0.05)	4.9	17.5	NS	13.2	173	15.5
CV %	9.5	9.7	9	9.6	9	10.2

Table 2. AS 76: Effect of PSAP on quality and sugar yield of sugarcane (sponsored trial)2022-23

Treatment	Briz	K (%)	Pol	Pol (%)		Purity (%)		S %	Sugar
	10 month	12 month	10 month	12 month	10 month	12 month	10 month	12 month	yield (t/ha)
Early promising genotype			1				1		
T <sub>1</sub> - Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	20.5	21.5	17.99	18.68	87.9	86.9	11.87	12.81	10.07
$T_2$ -RDF + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	19.8	21.8	17.40	19.02	87.9	87.2	12.22	13.07	11.67
T <sub>3</sub> -Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	21.1	21.4	18.78	18.86	88.1	87.5	12.12	13.03	9.99
$T_4 - T_3 +$ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	19.9	21.4	17.51	18.70	87.9	87.4	11.94	12.86	9.96
T <sub>5</sub> -T <sub>2</sub> +Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	20.3	21.4	17.99	18.55	88.5	86.7	11.29	12.71	12.30
T <sub>6</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	18.9	21.3	17.52	18.50	87.3	87.0	12.15	12.69	13.02
T <sub>7</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	20.7	21.2	18.15	18.83	87.9	89.1	12.20	13.05	14.16

T <sub>8</sub> - T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	19.7	21.1	17.41	18.64	88.2	88.2	12.53	12.89	10.71
<b>T</b> <sub>9</sub> – <b>T</b> <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	20.9	21.6	18.25	19.07	87.5	88.3	11.19	13.18	11.14
T <sub>10</sub> - T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	20.3	20.8	17.97	18.28	88.7	87.9	12.00	12.61	11.08
SEm±	0.54	0.38	0.429	0.342	0.71	0.66	0.212	0.266	0.60
CD (P=0.05)	1.6	NS	1.28	1.02	NS	2.0	0.63	NS	1.79
CV %	4.6	3.1	4.16	3.17	1.4	1.3	3.07	3.57	9.16

Table 3: AS 76. Effect of PSAP on nutrient uptake by sugarcane and available nutrient in<br/>post-harvest soil (sponsored trial) 2022-23

Treatment	N- uptake (Kg/ha)	P- uptake (Kg/ha)	K- uptake (Kg/ha)	Available N (Kg/ha)	Available P (Kg/ha)	Available K (Kg/ha)
T <sub>1</sub> - Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	215.2	20.6	224.0	254	12.3	115
$T_2$ -RDF + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	237.8	22.8	251.2	241	11.6	114
T <sub>3</sub> – Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	210.0	19.8	221.5	253	11.9	119
$T_4 - T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	214.8	20.3	226.4	255	12.4	122
	265.0	24.0	265.0	237	11.2	112
$ \begin{array}{c} {\bf T_6-T_2+Foliar\ spray\ of} \\ {\rm PSAP\ @\ 0.4,\ 0.65\ and} \\ {\rm 1.10\ \%\ at\ 60,\ 90\ and\ 120} \\ {\rm DAP} \end{array} $	277.0	25.4	280.9	236	11.4	110
T <sub>7</sub> -T <sub>2</sub> +Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	288.9	26.4	293.0	232	11.1	108
	224.4	21.5	236.8	247	11.2	117
$T_{9}$ $T_{4}$ Foliar spray of PSAP           (a)         0.4, 0.65 and 1.10% at           60, 90 and 120 DAP	231.3	21.9	240.8	246	11.8	119

T <sub>10</sub> - T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10% at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	234.0	21.8	247.2	245	11.9	116
SEm±	13.74	1.39	14.87	12.8	0.62	6.4
CD (P=0.05)	41	4.1	44.2	NS	NS	NS
CV %	9.9	10.7	10.4	9	9.1	10

## SANKESHWAR CENTRE

1	Project No.	AICRP (AS 76)
2	Department	Sugarcane Agronomy
3	Project Title	AS-76: Evaluating efficacy of PSAP for enhancement of sugarcane growth, yield and quality
4	Objectives	<ul> <li>To work out the optimum dose and schedule of PSAP application in sugarcane crop</li> <li>To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality</li> <li>To analyse the impact of the product on soil fertility and cultivation economics</li> </ul>
5	Project Leader Associate	Dr. S.S. Nooli, Agronomist, AICRP (S)
6	New/Continued	New
7	Year of Start	2021
8	Design	RBD
9	Treatments	T <sub>1</sub> -Recommended dose of NPK (RDF) T <sub>2</sub> -RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution T <sub>3</sub> -Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K T <sub>4</sub> -T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution T <sub>5</sub> -T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP T <sub>6</sub> -T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP T <sub>7</sub> -T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP T <sub>8</sub> -T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP T <sub>9</sub> -T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP T <sub>10</sub> -T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP
10	<ul> <li>a) No. of Replications</li> <li>b) Plot Size</li> <li>c) Date of planting</li> <li>d) Date of harvest</li> <li>e) Plot No</li> <li>f) Variety</li> </ul>	3 9.45 m X 4.5 m =42.5 m <sup>2</sup> 20.12.2021 10.01.2023 3 CoSnk 15104 (SNK 09227)

#### Results

#### Yield and yield attributes (Table 3)

Sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution with recommended dose of fertilizer followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 days after planting (DAP) recorded significantly higher cane yield of 151.77 t/ha which was on par with and RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (T<sub>6</sub>) (147.49 t/ha) and RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP (T<sub>5</sub>) (144.2 t/ha). Single cane weight and NMC followed the same trend .

#### Juice quality (Table 4)

Quality parameters *viz.*, per cent brix, pol, purity and CSS did not differ due to the sett soaking and foliar application of PSAP. However, RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP recorded significantly higher sugar yield of 20.5 t/ha as compared to other treatment combinations.

### **Economics (Table 9)**

Significantly higher net returns (Rs 298754 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP than other treatments. However, higher B:C ratio (3.40) was recorded in Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF).

#### Conclusion

- Sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution with recommended dose of fertilizer followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP recorded significantly higher cane yield.
- Foliar application of PSAP performed better over and above with the application of RDF.
- Higher net returns were recorded with RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution followed by foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP. However, due to higher market price of PSAP and application rate higher B:C ratio was recorded in RDF.

## Initial composite soil sample properties of the site

# **Chemical properties**

pH	EC	Availa			
(1:2.5)	(dS/m) (1:2.5)	Ν	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	UC (%)
7.85	0.39	269.5	38.9	475.0	0.51

**Physical properties** 

Sand (%)	Fine sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)
7.8	8.3	25.2	58.7
Bulk den	sity (gm cc <sup>-1</sup> )	Infiltration	rate (cm hr <sup>-1</sup> )
	1.27	0	.39

	Treatment details	Germination percentage (%)		
		<b>30 DAP</b>	45 DAP	
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	52.18	65.02	
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	59.74	70.03	
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	49.60	61.03	
<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	$T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	51.65	65.85	
T <sub>5</sub>	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP ( $a\!\!\!\!$ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	61.40	76.19	
T <sub>6</sub>	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	64.41	79.35	
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	64.85	82.24	
<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP ( $a\!\!\!\!$ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	54.57	68.53	
T9	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP ( $a\!\!\!\!$ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	59.74	72.30	
T <sub>10</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	59.92	72.14	
	S. Em. ±	2.22	2.74	
	CD (P=0.05)	6.49	7.99	

Table 1 Effect of P	<b>PSAP on germina</b>	tion percentage	of plant cane

# Table 2. Effect of PSAP on tiller count of plant cane

	Treatment details		Tiller count ('000 ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	I reatment details	<b>90 DAP</b>	120 DAP	150 DAP		
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	134.9	143.4	156.5		
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	139.5	146.9	160.7		
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	119.0	126.3	136.1		
T <sub>4</sub>	$T_4$ T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution		123.9	134.4		
<b>T</b> 5	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	142.7	152.0	166.3		
T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	149.2	158.6	171.8		
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	150.9	160.3	173.2		
<b>T</b> 8	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	123.9	131.6	141.8		
T9	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	130.2	138.9	148.0		
<b>T</b> <sub>10</sub>	$ T_{10} \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		139.7	150.6		
	<b>S. Em. ±</b>	5.13	5.45	5.91		
	CD (P=0.05)	14.99	15.91	17.26		

	Treatment details	Single cane weight (kg)	NMC ('000 ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cane yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	1.85	94.46	134.70
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	1.91	102.51	139.36
<b>T</b> 3	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	1.09	83.90	95.50
<b>T</b> 4	T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	1.29	84.50	102.99
T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.95	102.06	144.22
T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.99	102.99	147.49
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	2.27	104.75	151.77
<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.52	85.18	103.80
T9	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.58	90.25	110.50
T <sub>10</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	1.62	93.88	114.50
	S. Em. ±	0.08	3.64	4.87
	CD (P=0.05)	0.21	10.63	14.21

Table 3. Effect of PSAP on yield parameters and yield of plant cane

 Table 4. Effect of PSAP on quality parameters of plant cane

	Treatment details	Brix (%)	Pol (%)	Purity (%)	CSS (%)	CCS yield (t/ha)
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	20.9	19.1	91.6	13.5	18.1
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	20.7	19.2	92.7	13.6	18.9
<b>T</b> 3	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	20.8	19.0	91.0	13.3	12.7
<b>T</b> 4	T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	21.1	19.8	93.7	14.0	14.4
<b>T</b> 5	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	20.6	18.7	90.9	13.1	18.9
<b>T</b> 6	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	20.2	18.8	92.8	13.3	19.6
<b>T</b> 7	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	20.7	19.2	92.6	13.5	20.5
<b>T</b> 8	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	19.9	18.7	94.4	13.4	13.9
T9	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	20.5	19.2	93.8	13.6	15.1

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	20.8	19.2	92.1	13.5	15.5
S. Em. ±	0.79	0.73	3.55	0.52	0.66
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.91

# Table 5. Effect of PSAP on growth parameters of plant cane at harvest

	Treatment details	Cane height (m)	Cane girth (cm)
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	2.89	2.82
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	2.91	2.83
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	2.71	2.84
T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	2.83	2.82
T <sub>5</sub>	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	2.9	2.85
T <sub>6</sub>	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	2.79	2.87
<b>T</b> 7	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	3.01	2.93
<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	2.71	2.81
T9	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	2.79	2.79
<b>T</b> <sub>10</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	2.93	2.78
	S. Em. ±	0.11	0.09
	CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS

# Table 6. Effect of PSAP on the post harvest soil parameters of plant cane

		Bulk	Infiltration		Electrical	Organic
	Treatment details	Density	rate	pН	Conductivity	Carbon
		(g cc <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm hr <sup>-1</sup> )		(ds m <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	1.26	0.41	7.86	0.30	0.51
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	1.27	0.40	7.79	0.29	0.53

T <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	1.28	0.42	7.81	0.31	0.52
T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	1.25	0.38	7.83	0.30	0.54
<b>T</b> 5	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.27	0.39	7.79	0.29	0.55
<b>T</b> 6	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.26	0.37	7.81	0.31	0.56
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	1.28	0.40	7.84	0.33	0.55
<b>T</b> 8	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.29	0.41	7.75	0.29	0.58
T9	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	1.31	0.42	7.76	0.33	0.54
<b>T</b> 10	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	1.29	0.41	7.81	0.34	0.57
	<b>S.</b> Em. ±	0.92	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01
	CD (P=0.05)	NS 1.27	NS 0.20	NS 7.05	NS 0.22	NS
	Initial values	1.27	0.39	7.85	0.32	0.51

Treatment details		Nutrient uptake		
		(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
		Ν	Р	K
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	348.3	47.8	317.4
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	351.6	50.0	325.3
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	257.1	31.4	254.1
T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	274.6	37.0	270.0
T <sub>5</sub>	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	350.1	49.9	299.8
<b>T</b> 6	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	358.1	50.9	324.4
<b>T</b> 7	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	365.1	53.2	333.9
<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	286.4	37.6	267.3
T9	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	293.7	38.5	273.2
<b>T</b> <sub>10</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	294.0	39.7	273.2
S. Em. ±		12.6	1.71	11.34
CD (P=0.05)		36.08	5.00	33.10
Initial soil status			38.9	475.0

# Table 7. Effect of PSAP on nutrient uptake of plant cane at harvest
		Avai	able nut	trient
	Treatment details	stat N	us (kg h	a <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	220.3	41.0	<b>K</b> <sub>2</sub> <b>U</b> 416.0
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	228.0	40.2	419.9
T3	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	235.6	36.0	384.4
T <sub>4</sub>	$T_3$ + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	251.8	34.6	406.7
<b>T</b> 5	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	220.3	40.6	454.0
<b>T</b> 6	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	217.0	38.7	412.0
<b>T</b> 7	T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	204.5	33.2	396.1
<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	221.6	38.3	381.8
T9	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	218.6	40.0	386.1
T <sub>10</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and 1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	215.1	39.6	382.0
	S. Em. ±	8.65	1.48	15.75
	CD (P=0.05)	25.26	4.33	45.98
	Initial soil status	269.5	38.9	475.0

# Table 8. Effect of PSAP on post harvest available soil nutrient status of plant cane

Treatment details		Cost of	Gross	Net	B:C
		cultivation	returns	returns	Ratio
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Recommended dose of NPK (RDF)	112986	383888	270902	3.40
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	RDF + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	118986	397184	278198	3.34
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Recommended N, 50% P and 50% K	111215	272175	160960	2.45
<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8 % PSAP solution	117215	293529	176314	2.50
т	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 %	132861	411023	278162	3 09
15	at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	152001	411025	270102	5.05
т	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 %	133086	420345	287259	3 16
16	at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	155000	120010		2.10
_	$T_2$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and	133786	432540	298754	3.23
17	1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	100700			
т	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 0.80 %	131090	295830	164740	2.26
18	at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	101030			
т	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65 and 1.10 %	131315	314925	183610	2.40
19	at 60, 90 and 120 DAP	101010	011920		
T <sub>10</sub>	$T_4$ + Foliar spray of PSAP @ 0.4, 0.65, 1.10 and	132015	326325	194310	2.47
	1.10 % at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP	152015		1, 1010	
	S. Em. ±	-	13872	13872	0.11
	CD (P=0.05)	-	40492	40492	0.32

 Table 9. Effect of PSAP on economic parameters of plant cane cultivation

# **UCHANI CENTRE**

AS- 76	:	Evaluation of Potassium Salt of Active Phosphorus (PSAP) in				
		Sugarcane				
Objective	:	To assess the effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality				
Year of start	:	2020-21				

# **Treatments:**

<b>T1</b>	100% RDF* through soil- Control plot-1
T2	$T_1$ + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution
<b>T3</b>	$T_2$ + 12.5 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays
T4	$T_2$ + 15 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays
T5	$T_2 + 25$ kg PSAP/ha through foliar in four sprays
<b>T6</b>	RDN and 50% of P and K- Control plot-2
<b>T7</b>	$T_6$ + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution
<b>T8</b>	$T_7$ + 12.5 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays
<b>T9</b>	$T_7 + 15$ kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays
<b>T10</b>	T <sub>7</sub> + 25 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in four sprays

The experiment consisting of ten treatments was planted on mid maturing variety CoH 13263 at 120 cm row spacing on March 25, 2022 in spring season in randomized block design with three replications. The recommended dose of NPK 150-50-50 (T1-T5) and 150-25-25 NPK kg/ha (T6-T10) was applied in spring sugarcane. Full dose of P and K as per treatments was applied in furrows at the time of planting. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture with low in organic carbon (0.41), medium in available phosphorus (11.9 kg/ha) and medium in available K (181 kg/ha). The crop was raised as per package of practices of the region. The crop was harvested on March 1, 2023. The crop was irrigated at 8-10 days and 20 days interval during pre-monsoon and post-monsoon season, respectively.

Germination, growth parameters and yield attributes were significantly affected by different treatments of sett soaking and foliar spray of PSAP at different intervals. Higher germination and tillers were recorded with dipping of setts in 0.8% PSAP solution as compared to untreated control. No significant difference in germination percent was recorded between RDF and RDN-50% P & K fertility levels. Significantly highest cane yield was recorded in T5 (RDF+ sett treatment+ 25 kg/ha PSAP) and T10 (RDN-50 % P &K + sett treatment + 25 kg/ha PSAP) treatments as compared to their respective control treatments. Percent increase in cane yield and

yield attributes was higher under RDN-50% P & K fertility treatments as compared to RDF treatments (Table 11).

Significantly highest CCS yield was recorded in T5 (RDF+ sett treatment+ 25 kg/ha PSAP) and T10 (RDN-50 % P &K + sett treatment + 25 kg/ha PSAP) treatments as compared to their respective control treatments. ROVC (219358 Rs/ha) was computed highest with T5 (RDF+ sett treatment+ 25 kg/ha PSAP) followed by T4 (RDF+ sett treatment+ 15 kg PSAP/ha), whereas B: C (2.05) was computed highest with T2 (RDF+ sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution) followed by T4 (RDF+ sett treatment+ 15 kg PSAP/ha) (Table 12).

Treatments		Germina tion (%)	Tillers (000/ha)	NMC (000/ha)	Cane weight (g)	Stalk length (m)	Cane diameter (cm)	No. of interno des	Cane yield (t/ha)
T1	100% RDF* through soil- Control plot-1	47.8	126.2	94.9	920	1.51	2.32	19.2	83.9
T2	T <sub>1</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP** solution	53.2	134.9	102.6	972	1.70	2.39	19.8	93.1
Т3	$T_2$ + 12.5 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	54.1	142.7	108.3	1031	1.85	2.44	20.2	103.9
T4	T <sub>2</sub> + 15 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	53.7	145.0	112.1	1062	1.98	2.48	20.5	110.2
Т5	T <sub>2</sub> + 25 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in four sprays	54.3	148.4	117.9	1080	2.09	2.51	20.7	117.5
T6	RDN and 50% of P and K- Control plot-2	45.6	112.2	84.1	886	1.33	2.24	18.6	72.0
T7	T <sub>6</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	50.6	124.5	92.8	941	1.53	2.33	19.3	82.0
T8	$T_7$ + 12.5 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	51.5	129.4	98.9	1004	1.71	2.39	19.8	91.3
Т9	T <sub>7</sub> + 15 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	50.5	131.5	102.7	1023	1.83	2.43	20.1	98.8
T10	T <sub>7</sub> + 25 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in four sprays	51.8	132.7	106.9	1048	1.94	2.47	20.4	105.0
CD at 5%		5.2	13.4	10.6	102	0.20	NS	NS	12.3

 Table 1: Effect of different treatments on growth and yield of sugarcane

			• •		0		
Treatments		CCS (%)	CCS yield (t/ha)	Total variable cost (Rs/ha)	Gross returns (Rs/ha)	ROVC (Rs/ha)	B: C
T1	100% RDF* through soil- Control plot-1	11.88	9.96	164846	325196	160350	1.97
T2	T <sub>1</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP** solution	12.23	11.30	175823	360856	185033	2.05
Т3	$T_2$ + 12.5 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	12.44	12.91	205642	402716	197074	1.96
T4	$T_2$ + 15 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	12.58	13.89	213769	427135	213366	2.00
T5	$T_2 + 25$ kg PSAP/ha through foliar in four sprays	12.74	14.93	236072	455430	219358	1.93
<b>T6</b>	RDN and 50% of P and K- Control plot-2	11.68	8.39	155231	279072	123841	1.80
<b>T7</b>	T <sub>6</sub> + sett soaking with 0.8% PSAP solution	11.77	9.67	166688	317832	151144	1.91
T8	$T_7$ + 12.5 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	12.08	11.02	195607	353879	158272	1.81
Т9	$T_7$ + 15 kg PSAP/ha through foliar in three sprays	12.30	12.15	204454	382949	178495	1.87
T10	$T_7 + 25$ kg PSAP/ha through foliar in four sprays	12.46	13.06	226097	406980	180883	1.80
CD at 5%		NS	1.65	-	-	-	-

Table 2: Effect of different treatments on quality and economics of sugarcane



Fig 4: Field view of experiment on evaluation of Potassium Salt of Active Phosphorus (PSAP) in Sugarcane

**Summary**: Higher germination (53.2, 50.6%) and tillers (134.9, 124.5 thousand/ha) were recorded with dipping of setts in 0.8% PSAP solution as compared to untreated controls. No significant difference in germination percent was recorded between RDF (47.8%) and RDN-50 % P & K fertility levels (45.6%). Significantly highest cane yield was recorded in T5-RDF+ sett treatment+ 25 kg/ha PSAP (117.5 t/ha) and T10-RDN-50 % P & K + sett treatment + 25 kg/ha PSAP (105.0 t/ha) treatments as compared to their respective control treatments T1 and T6. Percent increase in

cane yield and yield attributes due to application of PSAP was comparatively higher under RDN-50% P & K fertility treatments as compared to RDF treatments.

Based on two year study it is concluded that T5-RDF+ sett treatment+ 25 kg/ha PSAP and T10-RDN-50 % P & K + sett treatment + 25 kg/ha PSAP were proved superior in terms of cane yield as compared to their respective control treatments T1 and T6. Percent increase in cane yield and yield attributes due to application of PSAP was comparatively higher under RDN-50% P & K fertility treatments as compared to RDF treatments.

#### **IMPORTANT OBSERVATIONS:**

PSAP (Potassium salt of active phosphorus) a compound developed and marketed by Isha Agro was evaluated for its efficacy in enhancing sugarcane growth and yield. Evaluated against recommended dose of fertilizers (NPK) and full nitrogen dose with half of P &K, the compound exhibited mixed response depending on the location's soil and agro-climatic conditions. Salient findings are:

- 1. Centres located in the NWZ recorded non-significant effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth and yield, except at Uchani where sugarcane sett soaking with 0.8 % solution of PSAP brought about significant improvement in germination, NMC and cane yield over no soaking. Across the centres in the zone soaking of setts in PSAP solution compensated for the reduction in P and K dose. Sett soaking followed by spray of PSAP at different growth stages in varying concentrations performed at par however, significantly better over sett soaking alone.
- 2. Peninsular zone characterized by conducive weather conditions for the crop recorded significant effect of PSAP on sugarcane growth and productivity. Soaking of setts in 0.8% PSAP solution alone caused significant improvement in germination and sugarcane growth. Centres located across the zone found significant improvement in cane yield with sett soaking followed by PSAP spray at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP at the respective concentrations of 0.4, 0.65, 1.1 and 1.1 %. Juice quality was, however not affected.
- Similar effect of PSAP was recorded in east-coast and north central zones as it was for the peninsular zone.

# CONCLUSION

Effect of PSAP on improving germination, growth and cane yield was more conspicuous in peninsular, east coast and north-central zones. Most of the centres in north-west zone did not observe significant effect of PSAP.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

PSAP as sett soaking (0.8% solution) followed by foliar spray at 60, 80, 100 and 120 DAP at the respective concentrations of 0.4, 0.65, 1.1 and 1.1% is recommended for use in the Peninsular zones along with recommended dose of fertilizers.

Signature of the Scientist with seal:

202 संजय कमार योदव

वरिष्ठ वैज्ञानिक (सस्य विज्ञान) माकुअनुप-मारतीय गन्ना अनुसंघान संरथान संखनऊ-226002 Dr. Sanjay Kumar Yadav Senior Scientist (Agronomy) ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research Lucknow-226002

Signature of the PC

Dr. Dinesh Singh Project Coordinator All India Coordinated Research Project on Sugarcane ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research Post-Dilkusha, Lucknow-226002 (UP)

Signature of the Director

Rual

(Dr. R. Viswanathan) Director ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research Lucknow-226002

-